HINDUTYA BY A MARATHA MAY 1923

HINDUTVA

BY

A MARATHA

BL1210 H5x

SWE

Publisher-V. V. KELKAR, B.A., LL, B., Nagpur, Printer-K. R. Gondhalekar, Jagadhitechu Press. 507, Shanwar, Poena City.



PUBLISHER'S NOTE.

WE consider ourselves fortunate in being able to present this publication to the reading-world. We are sure that the forcible style, the convincing arguments, the passionate pleading, and the able survey will attract the attention of even those that hold different views from the author of this work, and will pause to reconsider their decisions after its perusal.

Nothing is needed to introduce the reader to the subject the author himself will do it, so beautifully that, we do not want to stand between the reader and the author any longer by thrusting an introduction where none is required. We have just taken the reader to the gate from where no formal permission or introdution is required. He has merely to turn over this and at once be conversant with the author himself.

PUBLISHER.

ESSENTIALS OF HINDUTVA.

I

We hope that the fair Maid of Verona who made the impassioned appeal to her lover to change "A name" that was "nor hand, nor foot, nor arm, nor face, nor any other part belonging to a man " would forgive us for this our idolatrous attachment to it when we make bold to assert that, Hindus we are and love to remain so!" We too would, had we been in the position of that good Friar, have advised her youthful lover to yield to the pleasing pressure of the logic which so fondly urged "What's in a name? That which we call a rose would smell as sweet by any other name!" For, things do matter more than their names, especially when you have to choose one only of the two, or when the association between them is either new or simple; The very fact that a thing is indicated by a dozen names in a dozen human tongues disarms the suspicion that there is an invariable connection or natural concomitance between sound and the meaning it conveys. Yet, as the association of the word with the thing it signifies grows stronger and lasts long, so does the

channel which connects the two states of consciousness tend to allow an easy flow of thought from one to another, till at last it seems almost impossible to separate them. And when in addition to this, a number of secondery thoughts or feelings that are generally roused by the thing get mystically entwined with the word that signifies it, the name seems to matter a much as the thing itself. Would the fair Apostle of the creed that so movingly questioned "What's in a name?" hav liked it herself to nickname the God of her idolatry "Paris" instead of "Romeo"? or would he have been ready to swear by the moon that tipped with silver all the fruit tree tops, that it would serve as sweet and musical to his heart to call his "Juliet" by 'any other name ' such a for example-" Rosaline"? Nay more; there are words which simply an idea in itself extremely complex or an ideal or vast and abstract generalization which seem to take, as it were, a being unto themselves or live and grow as an organism would do. Such names though they be 'nor hand, nor foot, nor any other part belonging to a man,' are not a that, precisely because they are the very soul of man. The become the idea itself and live longer than generations men do. Jesus died but Christ has servived the 'Roma Emperors and that Empire. Inscribe at the foot of one those beautiful paintings of 'Madona' the name of 'Fatima and a Spaniard would keep gazing at it as curiously as any other piece of art; but just restore the name of ' Madons instead, and behold his knees would loose their stiffness a bend, his eyes their inquisitiveness and turn inwards in ador

recognition, and his whole being get suffused with a consciousness of the presence of Divine Motherhood and Love! What in a name? Ah! call Ayodhya—Honololu, or nickname her immortal Prince—a Pooh bal, or ask the Americans to change Washington into a Chengizkhan, or persuade a Mohomedan to call himself a jew, and you would soon find that the "open sesame" was not the only word of its type!

To this category of names which have been to mankind mbtle source of life and inspiration belongs the word Hindutva, the essential nature and significance of which we mean to investigate into. The ideas and ideals, the systems and societies, the thoughts and sentiments which have centered round this name are so varied and rich, so powerful and so mbtle, so elusive and yet so vivid, that the term Hindutva defies all attempts at analysis. Forty centuries, if not more, had been at work to mould it as it is. Prophets and poets lawyers and lawgivers, heroes and historians, have thought, lived, fought and died just to have it spelled thus! For indeed, is it not the resultant of countless actions-now conflicting, now commingling, now co-operating-of our whole race? Hindutva is not a word but a history. Not only the miritual or religious history of our people as at times it is mistaken to be by being confounded with the other cognate Hinduism, but a history in full. Hinduism is only a derivative, a fraction, a part of Hindutva. Unless it is made clear what is meant by the latter, the first remains unintelli rible and vague. Failure to distinguish between these two terms has given rise to much misunderstanding and mutual

suspicion between some of those sister communities that have inherited this inestimable and common treasure of our Hindu civilization. What is the fundamental difference is the meaning of these two words would be clear as our argument proceeds. Here it is enough to point out that Hindutva is not identical with what is vaguely indicated by the term Hinduism. By an 'ism' is generally meant a theory or a code more or less based on spiritual or religious dogma o system. But when we attempt to investigate into the essential significance of Hindutva we do not primarily-and certain not mainly-concern ourselves with any particular theocratic or religious dogma or creed. Had not linguistic usage stood i our way then "Hinduness" would have certainly been a better word than Hinduism as a near parallel to Hindutya. Hindut va embraces all the departments of thought and activity of the whole Being of our Hindu race. Therefore, to understand the significance of this term Hindutva, we must first under stand the essential meaning of the word Hindu itself and realize how it came to exercise such imperial sway over the hearts of millions over millions of mankind and won a loving allegiance from the bravest and best of them. But befor we can do that, it is imperative to point out that we are b no means attempting a definition or even a description of the more limited, less satisfactory and essentially sectarian term Hinduism. How far we can succeed or are justified i doing that would appear as we proceed.

II

Although it would be hazardous at the present stage of eriental research to state definitely the period when the foremost band of the intrepid Aryans made it their home and lighted their first sacrificial fire on the banks of the Sindhu, the Indus, yet certain it is that long before the ancient Egypsans, and Babylonions had built their magnificient civilization, the holy waters of the Indus were daily witnessing the locid and curling columns of the scented sacrificial smokes and the valleys resounding the chants of Vedic hymns-the piritual ferver that animated their souls. The adventurous ralour that propelled their intrepid enterprizes, the sublime heights to which their thoughts rose—all these had marked them out as a people destined to lay the foundation of a meat and enduring civilization. By the time they had definitely cut themselves aloof from their cognate and eighbouring people, especially the Persians, the Aryans had soread out to the furthest of the seven rivers-" the anitys" -and not only had they developed a sense of nationality but had already succeeded in giving it 'a local habitation and a name!' Out of their gratitude to the genial and perennial network of waterways that ran through the land like a system of nerve-threads and wove them into a Being, they very naturally took to themselves the name of " ERlings "-an epithet that was applied to the whole of Vedic India in the oldest records of the world—the Rigveda itself. Aryans or the cultivators as they essentially were, we can well

understand the divine love and homage they bore to these sever rivers presided over by 'the River'—'the Sindhu,' which them were but a visible symbol of the common nationality and culture:—इसा आप: शिवतमा इमा राष्ट्रस्य भेषनी :। इसा राष्ट्रस्य वर्षनीरिमा राष्ट्रभुतोपना : ॥

The Indians in their forward march had yet to meet many a river as genial and as fertilizing as these but, never could they forget the attachment they felt and the homage they paid to the सप्तिसंघुड which had welded them into a nation and furnished the name which enabled their forefathers to voice forth their sense of national and cultural unity. Down to this day a सिंधु—a हिंदु—wherever he may happen to be will gratefully remember and symbolically invoke the presence of these rivers that they may refresh and purify his soul इसे मे गंगे यमुने सरस्वित झुनुद्दिस्तोम सचता पर्ण्या। असिक्न्यामस्त्वृषे वितस्त्यानांकीय अणुह्या मुषोसया ॥ गंगे च यमुने चैव गोदाविर सरस्वित ॥ नमेदे सिंधु कावेरि कहेस्मिन् सांविधि कुरु ॥

Not only had these people been known to themselves as "Sindhus" but we have definite records to show that they were known to their surrounding nations—at any rate to one of them—by that very name—" and any "The syllable & (s) in Sanskrit is at times changed into & (h) in some of the Prakrit languages, both Indian and non-Indian. For example the word & has become & not only in Indian Prakrits but also in the European languages too; we have an i.e., a week, in India and 'Heptarchy' in Europe and in Sanskrit becomes and any becomes and had becomes and had

we actually find that the Vedic name of our nation ming had been mentioned as this in the Avesta by ancient Persian people. Thus in the very dawn of histowe find ourselves belonging to the nation of the tags Hindus and this fact was well known to our learned men even in the Puranic period. In expounding the doctrine that many of the ross tongues had been but the mere offshoots of the Sanskrit language the भविष्य पुराण clearly ates this fact and says-संस्कृतस्यैव वाणी तु भारतं वर्षमुहाताम् । अ-व्यवंडे गता सेव म्छेच्छाखानंदिनोऽभवन् । पितृ पतर भ्राताच बादरः पतिरेवच । ाते सा यावनी भाषा ग्रश्वश्वास्यस्तथा पुनः। नानुस्थाने नैनुशब्दः सप्तसिधु-स्तर्थव च । हप्ताहिंदुर्यावनी च पुन ज्ञेंया गुरुंहिका ॥ (प्रतिसर्गपर्व अ. ५) Thus knowing for certain that the Persians used to designate the Vedic Aryans as Hindus and knowing also the fact that we generally call a foreign and unknown people by the term by which they are known to those through whom we come to know them, we can safely conclude that most of the remoter nations that flourished then must have applied the same epithet Hindu to our land and people as the ancient Persians did. Not only that, but even in the very region of the unitys the thinly scattered native tribes too, must have been knowing the Aryans as fags in the local dialects in accordance with the same linguistic law. Further on, as the Vedic Sanskrit began to give birth to the Indian Prakrits which became the spoken tongues of the majority of the decendants of these very fergs as well as the assimilated and the cross-born castes, these too might have called themselves as Hindus without any influence from the foreign people. For,

the Sanskrit & changes into & as often in Indian Prakrits at its more universally followed. Now we know it for in the non-Indian ones. Therefore so far as definite records are concerned it is indisputably clear that the first and almost the cradle name chosen by the Patriarches of our race to designate our nation and our people is **RITE* or **RITE* and introduced them to the names and nature of the new have known us by this very epithet first or is so which the Arvans could not be but local strangers.

So far we have been treading on solid ground of recorded facts but now we cannot refrain ourselves from making an occasional excursion into the borderland of conjucture. So far we have not pinned our faith to any theory about the original home of the Aryans. But if the most widely accepted theory of their entrance into India be relied on then a natural curiosity arises as to the origin of the names by which they called the new scenes of their adopted home. Did they coin all those names from their own tongue? Could they have done so ? Is it not generally true that when we meet a new scene or enter a new country we call them by the very names-may be in a slightly changed form so as to suit our vocal ability or taste-by which they are known to the native people there? Of course, at times we love to call new scenes by names redolent with the memory of the clear old ones-especially when new colonies are being established in a virgin and but thinly populated continent. But this explanation could only be satisfactory when it is proved that the name given to the new place already existed in the old country and even then it could not be denied that the other process of calling new scenes by the names which they already

tain that the region of the anitys was, though very thinly, ulated by scattered tribes. Some of them seem to have I triendly towards the new-comers and it is almost certain many an individual had served the Aryans as guides and introduced them to the names and nature of the new mes to which the Aryans could not be but local strangers. " विद्याधराप्सरोयक्षरक्षोगधर्वकिन्नराः " were not all or altogether mical to the Aryans as, at times they are mentioned as benevolent and good natured folks. Thus it is probthat many names given to these great rivers by the orial inhabitants of the soil may have been sanskritised and obpted by the Aryans. We have numerous proofs of this ature in later assimilative expansion of those people their tongues: witness the words शलकंटकटा, मलय, ासद, अलर्खदा (Alexandria) मुख्य (Selucus) etc. If this be true n it is quite probable that the great Indus was known to the original inhabitants of our land and owing to el peculiarity of the Aryans it got changed into fig they adopted it by the operation of the same rule that at times the Sanskritised equivalent of g. Thus feg would the name that this land and the people that inhabited it from time so immemorial that even the Vedic name Fry but a later and secondary form of it. If the epithet fey its antiquity in the glimmering twilight of History on the word fee dates its antiquity from a period so remoter an the first that even mythology fails to penetrate to-trace la its source.

could no longer be kept cooped or cabined within the name taken of founding a nation and a country, found and compass of the प्यान or the Punjab. The vast and fer and its geographical limit when the Valorous Prince of plains further stood out inviting the efforts of some strong and vigorous race. Tribe after tribe of the Hindus issument the whole land from the Himalayas to the Seas under forth from the land of their Nursery and led by the on sovereign sway. The day when the Horse of Victory resciousness of a great mission and their Sacrificial Fire that symbol thereof, they soon reclaimed the vast, wasted and le very thinly populated lands. Forests were felled, agriculture flourished, cities rose, kingdoms thrived,—the touch of hum hand changed the whole face of the wild and unkempt nature But while these great deeds were being achieved the Ary had developed to suit their individualistic tendencies and demands of their new environments a polity that was b loosely centralised. As time passed on, the distances of the new colonies increased, and different peoples of other highly developed types began to be incorporated into the culture, the different settlements began to lead a life politially very much centred in themselves. The new attack ments formed, though they could not efface the old onyet grew more and more pronounced and powerful until the ancient generalizations and names gave way to the ne-Some called themselves कुछ other दाशीं or विदेश or मण while the old generic name of the Sindhus or Hindus w first overshadowed and then almost forgotten. Not the the conception of a national and cultural unity vanish but it assumed other names and other forms, the political most important of them being the institution of a बद्धवात-

The activities of so intrepid a people as the fags or Him last the great mission which the Sindhus had and live made a triumphant entry in Ceylon and actually amed to Ayodhya unchallenged and unchallengable, the at white Umbrella of Sovereignity was unfurled over the rial throne of Ramachandra the brave, Ramchandra the and a loving allegiance to him was sworn, not only by Princes of Aryan blood but Hanuman—Sugriva—Bibhima from the south-that day was the real birth-day of our Mudu people. It was truly our national day: for Aryans Anaryans knitting themselves into a people were born a nation.-It summed up and politically crowned the worts of all the generations that preceded it and it handed lown a new and common mission, a common banner, a comon cause which all the generations after it had consciously unconsciously fought and died to defend.

A synthetic conception gains in strength if it finds a term amprehensive enough to give it an eloquent expression Those terms आयांवत or ब्रह्मवत were not so suitable as to exmas the vast synthesis that embraced the whole continent from the Indus to the sea and aimed to weld it into a nation. नवानते as defined by the ancient writers was the land that lay etween the Himalaya and the Vindhya. "आयांवर्त: पुण्यभूमिमंध्यं किन्यहिमालयोः" ॥ And athough it was best suited to the circumstances which gave it birth, yet and therefore, it could

not serve as a common name to a people that had well Aryans and Non-Aryans into a common race and had carry their culture-empire-far beyond the bending summits Vindhyadri. This necessity of finding a suitable term to press the expansive thought of an Indian Nation was m or less effectively met when the house of Bharat came to ercise its sway over the entire world. Without enter into speculations as to who this Bharat was—the Vedic Bl rat or the Jain one-or what was the exact period at wh. he ruled, it is here enough for us to know that his name h been not only the accepted but the cherished epithet which the people of आयांवर्त and दक्षिणापय delighted to c their common motherland and their common cultural empir Thus as the horizen opened out to the South we find that the centre of gravity had very naturally shifted from the HAR to the Gangetic Delta and the name सप्तसिंध or आयांवत दक्षिणाप्य gave way to the politically grander expression भरतया which included in its sweep all that lay between the Himlayas and the Seas. This is most clearly indicated by the definition of our Nation attempted at a period when the vast conception must have been dawning over the minds our great thinkers. We have met with no better attempt t define our position as a people than the terse little couplet n the विष्णुपुराण "उत्तरयत्समुद्रस्य हिमाद्रेश्वैव दक्षिणम् । वर्षे तद्भारतं नाम भारती यत्र संततिः॥"

But this new word भारतवर्ष could not altogether suppressour cradle name सिश्रुड or हिंदुड nor could it make us forgethe love we bore to that River of rivers—the सिश्र at

breast our patriarchs and people had drunk the milk life. Our frontier provinces which bordered the course of still clung to their ancient name सियुत्तर. And mughout the Sanskrit literature we find सिश्चांबरिंड remised as an integral and an important part of our body Mitic. In the great Mahabharat war the king of सिंध्सोवीर ures prominently and is said to have been closely related the Bharats. Although the limits of the सिश्चराष्ट्र shifted from one to time, yet the langauge that the people speak-did Wen and does even now mark them out as a people by themwes-from Multan to the sea, and the name ' सिंधा' which bears is an emphatic reminder that all those who speak it Figs and are entitled to be recognised as a geographical and political unit in the common-wealth of our Indian people. Although the epithet भरतगंड succeeded in almost overstudowing the cradle name of our nation in India, yet the foreign nations seem to have cared little for it and as our bontier provinces continued to be known by their ancient ame, so even our immediate neighbours—the Avestic Persians, the Jews, the Greeks and others clung to our ancient same Tas or Hindus. They did not merely indicate the forderland of Indus by this term as in days gone by, but the whole nation into which the ancient fays by expansion and assimilation had grown. The Avestic Persians know us 45 Hindus, the Greeks dropping the harsh accent as Indos and through the Greeks almost all Europe and later on America as fees or Indians. Even Huent Sung who lived so long with us persists in calling us larges or legs. Barring a few examples as that of Afganisthan being called as with by the Parthians, very rarely indeed had the foreign forgotten our cradle name or prefered the new one with Down to this day the whole world knows us as" Hindu and our land as "Hindusthan" as if in fulfilment of the wish of our Vedic fathers who were first to make that choice

But a name by its nature is determined not so much I what one likes to call oneself but generally by what other like to do. In fact a name is called into existence for the very purpose. Self is known to itself immutably and within a name or even without a form. But when it comes in conta or conflict with a non-self then alone it stands in need of a name if it wants to communicate with others or if others persi in communicating with it. It is a game that requires two play at. If the world insists that a teacher or a wit must b handed down as an 'अष्टावक ' or a ' मुहा दोष्याचा ' well then he inspite of his liking, is very likely to be remembered as such If the name chosen by the world for us is not directly again our liking then it is yet more likely to shadow all other name we might bear witness 'पाने '. 'मुनुमदार', 'पेशने '. But if the world hits upon a word by which they would know us as on redolent of our glory or our early love then that word certain not only to shadow but to survive every other name w may have. This fact added to the circumstances which brought us first into close contact and then into a fierce conflict. with the world at large, soon enabled the epithet Hindu assert itself once more and so vigorously as to push into the background even the well beloved name of भरतसंड itsell

III

Although Indians were by no means cut off from the outde world before the rise of Buddhism and although their world tivities had already assumed such dimensions as to give a ार occassion to our patriotic poet law-givers to claim "एतद्देश-कागस्य सकाशाद्रप्रवन्मनः। स्वं स्वं चरित्रं शिक्षेरन् पृथिव्यां सवमानवाः। (मनु) ent as far as the present argument is concerned, the intertional life of India, after the rise of Buddhism, requires mefly to be considered. Because it was about this time when political enterprise having exposed or exhausted all possibilities of expansion in our own land naturally began to overflow its limits to an extent unevidenced before and the mmunications with the outside world grew more intense and more extensive than in the days gone by. Not only this but atsiders began to knock at our doors more impudently and even imperatively than they ever had done. In addition to these political developements the great and divine mission that set in motion " the wheel of the law of Righteousness" made India the very heart-the very soul-of almost all the then known world. To countless millions of human wouls from Misar to Mexico, the land of the Sindhus came to be the land of their Gods and Godmen. Thousands of pilgrims from distant shores poured into this country and thousands of scholars, preachers, sages and saints went from this land to all the then known world. But as the outside world persisted in recognising us by our ancient name "Sindhu" or "Hindu" both these in-coming and outgoing processes helped mightily to render that epithet to be the most perminent of our national names. The necessity of political and deplomatic correspondence with various states, who knows as Hindus or Indus, must also have, by making it acumbent on our people to respond to it, revived the use this epithet first side by side with and then at times evidenteed of the name Bharatkhand.

But if the rise of Buddhism had thus enabled this epithto grow in prominence through-out the world and made more and more conscious of ourselves as Hindus, then stranto say the fall of Buddhism only carried this process furththan ever.

We fear that the one telling factor that contributed to the fall of Buddhism more than any other has escaped that d tailed attention of scholars which it deserves. But as the subject in hand does but remotely involve its treatment here we cannot treat it here in full. All that we can d here is to make a few general remarks and leave them to be expounded and detailed out to a more favourable occasion if the work be not done by others better fitted to do it. Ca it be that philosophical differences alone could have made our nation turn against Buddhism? Not wholly:--for these differences had been there all along and even flourished side by side with each other. Can it be the general inanition and demoralization of the Buddhistic church itself? Not wholly:--for, if some of the Vihars sheltered a loose, lazy and promiscuous crowd of men and women who lived on other and spent what was not theirs on disreputable persuits a

wet, on the other hand the line of those spiritual giants Arhats and Bhikkuz had not altogether ended : nor had scenes been peculiar to the Buddhistic Vihars alone! all these and many other short-comings would not have attracted such fierce attention and proved fatal to Buddhistic sever in India had not the political consequences of the Bud-Mistic expansion been so disastrons to the national virility even the national existence of our race. No prelude to a and tragedy could be more dramatic in its effect in foreadowing the culminating catastrophy than that incident in the life of the Shakya Sinha when the news of the fate of the little tribal republic of the Shakyas was carried to their France Prince when he was just laying the foundation Mone of the Buddhistic church. He had already enrolled the flower of his clan in his Bhikku-sangha and the little Makya Republic thus deprived of its bravest and best, an easy victim to the strong and warlike, in the very time of the Shakya Sinha. The news when carried to In is said to have left the Enlightened unconcerned. Centurolled on the Prince of the Shakyas had grown into Prince of Princes—the Lokjit—the great conqueror of worlds. The confines of his little Shakya state expanded and imbraced the confines of India; and as if to give a touch of portical precision and poetical justice, the woeful fate that overtaken the tribal republic of Kapil-Vastu befell the whole of Bharatvarsha itself and it fell an easy prey to the brong and warlike-not like Shakyas of their own kith and tin but-the Lichis and Huns! Of course the Enlighten

ed would perhaps remain as unaffected as ever even if the news could ever reach him like the first. But the rest Hindus than could not drink with equanimity this cup of bitterness and political servitude at the hands of those whose barbarous violence could ill be soothed by the meals mouthed formulas of settle and spiritual brother-hood, and whose steel could ill be blunted by the soft palm leaves and rhymed charms. We do not mean to underrate-much less accuse—the services of the great brotherhood and in Divine Mission. We have only to point out the concomtance that is too glaring to escape the attention of any str dent of History. We know that it could easily be present against this statement that, the greatest and even powerly Indian Kings and Emperor known, belong to the Buddhist period. Yes-but known to whom ?-- to the Europeans and those of us who have unconsciously imbibed not only their thoughts but even their prejudices. There was a time when every school history in India opened from the Mahomedan invasion because the average English writers of that time knew next to nothing of our earlier life. Lately the general knowledge of Europe has extended backwards to the rise of Buddhism and we too are apt to look upon it as the first and even the most glorious epoch of our history. The fact is it is neither. We yield to none in our love and admiration and respect for the Buddha-the Dharma-the Sangha They are all ours. Their glories are ours and ours their failures. Great was Ashoka the Devapriya, and greater were the achievements of Buddhistic Bhikshus. But achieve-

ments as great if not greater and things as holy and more politic and statesmanly had gone before them and indeed mabled them to be what they were. So, we do not think that the political virility or the manly nobility of our race bean and ended with the Mauryas alone-or was a consesome of their embracing Buddhism. Buddhism has conmests to claim but they belong to a world far removed from wis our matter-of-fact world-where feet of clay do not stand long, and steel could be easily sharpened, and mun-thirst-is no powerful and real to be quenched by painted streams that flow perennially-in heavens. These must have been es considerations that must have driven themselves home to the hearts of our patriots and thinkers when the Huns and Shaks poured like volcanic torrents and burnt all that thrived. The Indians saw that the cherished ideals of their nee-their thrones and their families and the very Gods they anrshipped-trampled under foot, the holy land of their live devastated and sacked by hordes of barbarians so inferior to them in language, religion, philosophy, mercy and all the et and human attributes of man and God; -but superior to them in strength alone !- strength that summed up its creed. two words-Fire and Sword! The inference was clear. That also was the fact that Buddhistic logic had no argument that could effeciently meet this new and terrible dualm-this and this strange bible of Fire and Steel. So the beders of thought and action of our race had to rekindle their Sacrificial Fire to oppose the Sacrilegions One-to re-open the mines of Vedic fields for steel-to get it sharpened on the

altar of काली —"the Terrible", so that महाकाल —the "Spin of the Times" be appeased. Nor were their anticipation belied. The success of the renovated Hindu arms was us disputed and indisputable. Vikramaditya who drove the foreigners from the Indian soil and Lalitaditya who cand and chastised them in their very dens from Tartary to Mogolia-were but compliments of each other. Valour had accomplished what formulas had failed to do. On more the people rose to the heights of greatness the shed its lustre on all departments of life. Poetry and plant losophy, art and architecture, agriculture and commerce thought and action felt the quickening impulse which cos sciousness of Independance and strength and Victory along can radiate. The reaction as usaul was complete even to fault. "Up with the Vedic Dharma!" "Back to the Vedas! The national cry grew louder and louder, more and more imperative, because this was essentially a political necessity.

Buddhism had made first and yet the greatest attempt to propagate a universal religion. "Go, ye Bhikkus, to all the ten directions of the world and preach the law of Righteouness!" Truly, it was a law of Righteousness—it had no ulteriored in view, no lust for land or lucre quickening its step and grand though its achievements were it could not erad cate the seeds of animal passions nor of political ambition nor of individual aggrandisement in the minds of all men to such an extent as to make it safe for India to change but Sword for a Rosary. Even then, to set an example, did India declare her will to "take more pleasure in the con-

of peace and righteousness than in the conquests of Nobly she tried : ah ! so nobly as to make herself ridialous in the eyes of Lust and Lucre :- had she not issued Roand edicts to the effect that the very water be strained before was poured out for horses and elephants to drink, so as to mable the tiny lives in the waters to escape immediate death? and had she not opened corn-throwing centres in the midst of the seas that fish be fed in her oceans, while men had not ceased bed on fish in other oceans of the world, nor had the very but ceased to feed on each other! Nobly did she try to kill buling by getting killed-and at last found out that palm laves at times are too fragile for steel! As long as the whole world was red in tooth and claw and the utional and racial distinctions so strong as to make men brutal, so long if India had to live at all a life whether spiritand or political according to the light of her soul, she must not loose the strength born of national and racial cohesion. be the leaders of thought and action grew sick of repeating the mumbos and umbos of Universal Brotherhood and, litterly complained-

महातले ॥ व्यापादयन्ति ते विप्रान् प्रांति यद्वादिकाः कियाः । इरन्ति मुनिस्थाय पापाः कि कि न कुर्वति ॥ मुन्छाकांते न म्लोके निर्वयद्वारमंगले ।
स्थापादि विच्छेदाद्वलोकोऽवसीदिति ॥ (गुणादय) And when
the barbarian hordes of the Shaks and the Huns—who
had ravaged their fair land that had in utter confidence
dad herself in a Bhikkus' dress, changed her Sword for Rosary
and had taken to the vows of अद्विमा and non-violence—were

expelled beyond Indus and further, and a strong national state was firmly established, then it was but natural that the leaders of our race should have realized what an immensamount of strength could be derived if but the new national state was backed up by a Church as intensely national.

Moreover every thing that is common in us with our enmies weakens our power of opposing them. The foe that has nothing in common with us is the fee likely to be most bitter ly resisted by us, just as a friend that has almost everything in him which we admire and prize in ourselves is likely to be the friend we love most. The necessity of creating a bitter sense of wrong and invoking a power of undying resistance especially in India that had under the opiates of Universalism and Non-violence lost the faculty even of resisting sin and crime and aggression, could best be accomplished by cutting of even the semblance of a common worship-a common Church, which required her to clasp the hand of those as her co-religionists whose had been the very hand that had strangled her as a nation. What was the use of a universal faith that instead of soothening the ferociousness and brutal egoism of other nations only excited their lust by leaving India defenceless and unsuspecting? No; the only safe guards in future were valour and strength that could only be born of a national self-consciousness. She had poured her life's blood for sophistry that tried to prove otherwise!

The reaction against the universal tendencies of Buddhism only grew more insistent and powerful as the attempt to reestablish the Buddhist power in India began to assume a threatening attitude. Nationalist tendencies refused to order with our national independance and accept a foreign querer as our over-Lord. But if that foreign invader suppened to be favourably inclined towards Buddhism, then was sure to find some secret sympathisers in the Indian Mhists all over India: even as Catholic Spain could always some important section in England to sympathise with our efforts to restore a Catholic dynasty in England. Not by this but dark hints abound in our ancient records to w that at times some foreign Buddhistic powers had smally invaded India with an express national and reliaim in view. We cannot treat the history of this mod exhaustively here but can only point to the half symble and half actual description given in one of our Puranas the war waged on the आयदेशवा: by the न्वनपति (the tine of the Huns) and his Buddhistic allies. The record teils in a mythological strain how a big battle was fought on banks of the river " दहा", how the Buddhistic forces made Thing the basis of operation "(चीनदेशसुपागम्य युद्धभूमिरवार्यत्)," they were reinforced by contingents from many Budmutic nations: स्वामदेशोद्भवा स्झास्तया रक्षाख जापदाः । दहरक्षाधी-ाह्ना युद्धाय बसुवस्थिता:॥" and how after a tough night the Huddhists lost it and paid heavily for their defeat. They and formally to renounce all ulterior national aims against lo its and give a pledge that they would never again enter India with any political end in view. The Buddhists as individuals and nothing to fear from India—the land of toleration—but they should give up all dreams of endangering the national

life of India and her independance " सर्वेश कोंद्रनेदेश त अपर्य कृतम्। आर्यदेशं न यास्यामः कदाचिद्राष्ट्रहेतवे॥ (भविष्यपुराण प्रतिसर्गप

And thus we find that institutions that were the pecul. marks of our nation were revived - वर्णायमञ्चल which could not be wiped away even under the Buddhistsway, grew in popularity to such an extent that kings and emperors felt it a distinction to be called "वर्णव्यवस्थापनपरः (सोनपत ताम्रहेस)—"वर्णाधमव्यवस्थापनप्रवृत्तचकः" (मधवत ताम्रपट) Reaction in favour of this institution grew so strong that on nationality was almost getting identified with it. Witness the definition that tries to draw a line of demarcation by ween us and foreigners "चानुवर्ण्यव्यवस्थानं यहिमन्देशे न विश्रते। तं मुच्छदेश जानीयादायावतस्ततः परम्॥." From this it was but natural step to prohibit our people from visiting shores which were uncongenial-in some cases hercely hostile-to such peculiar institutions as these and where our people could not be expected to receive the protection that would enable to keep up the spirit and letter of our faith. Reckless as the intense spirit of self-assertion that had found so benigh reaction was, it was perfectly intelligible when viewed at politically; for, do we not frequently meet with patriotic thinkers even now in our land who would stand for laws prohibiting our men from emigrating to nations where they are sure to be subjected to national disabilities and dishonours

Thus it was political and national necessity that was at once the cause and the effect of the decline of Buddhism m India. Buddhism had its geographical centre of gravity no where. So it was an imperative need to restore at least the national centre of gravity that India had lost in attempt-

m get identified with Buddhism. When the nation grew mody selfconscious as an organism would do and was in et conflict with the non-self, it instinctively turned to the line of division and mark well the position it occuas to make it clear to themselves where they exactly and to the world how they were unmistakebly a people themselves-not only a racial and a national but even ographical and political unit. On the southern side of country the natural and stratagic limits were already hed, sanctioned and sanctified. The frame-work of the p and boundless seas in which our southern peninsula is is almost poetical in its grace and perfection. The अमुद्रश्ना" had pleased the eyes of generations of our ets and patriots. But on the north western sides of our with the commingling of races was growing rather too unamonious to be healthy and our frontiers too shifty to be Therefore it would have been a matter of surprise if asylum under the patronage of the महाकाउ of Ujjain and not made our patriots turn to this pressing necessity of wing a frontier line for us that would be as vivid as efbelive. And what could that line be but the vivacious yet weerful stream—the River of rivers—the " सिमु "? The day m which the patriarchs of our race had crossed that stream way ceased to belong to the people they had definitely left whind and laid the foundation of a new nation-were rebem into a new people that, under the quieting star of new hope and new mission, were destined by assimilation and by expansion to grow into a race and a new polity that come and achievements, can never be so effective and perma-

Nor was this attempt to identify our frontier line with was a name that, besides being reminiscent of such river Indus an innovation. In fact it was but the nature and achievements and beloved personal touches, is in consequence of the great war-cry of the national revival "Back to the Vedas." The Vedic State based on and back up by the Vedic Church must be designated by the Ven name, and-so far as it was then possible-identified with n Vedic lines. And this process of events which the very general ral trend of history should have enabled us to anticipant seems to have actually gone through. For one of our patients otic पुराचाड assures us that शालिबाइन, the grandson the great विकमादिल, after having defeated the second at tempt of foreigners to rush in and expelled them beyond the Indus, issued a Royal Decree to the effect that thenceform the Indus should constitute the line of demarcation between India and other non-Indian nations: "एतिस्यन्नतरे तत्र शाहिकाह्य म्पतिः । विकमादिलपीत्रथ पितृराज्यं प्रपेदिरे ॥ जिल्वा शकान् दुराधपा बीनंतितिरिदेशजान् । बाल्हिकान् कामरूपांध रोमजान् सुरजान् शठान् ॥ ते कोशान् गृहित्वाच दंडयोग्यानकारयत् । स्थापिता तेन मयादा म्हेच्छ्यांणां प्रात पृदक् ॥ सिधुस्थानमितिक्वेयं राष्ट्रमार्थस्य चोत्तमम् । म्लेच्छस्यानं परं सिंघो कृतं तेन महात्मना ॥ (भविष्यपुराण, प्रतिसर्ग पर्व अ. २).

we have a record is सप्तिषु or विषु. Even भारतवर्ष is and wh girdles southern peninsula—so that this one word विषु must necessarily be a latter designation, besides being personal in its appeal. The glories of a person however magnificient, loose their glamour as time passes on. The name of the few which falls in two flowthat recommends itself by appealing to such personal glo- streams on the eastern and western slopes of the Himla

only be most fittingly and feelingly described as fey or its source of ever rising consciousness of gratitude and men to it associated with some great beneficient and cial natural phenomena. The emperor Bharat is gone whe also many an emperor as great !- but the fery goes lor ever: for ever inspiring and fertillising our sense of attude , vivifying our sense of pride, renovating the ancient ories of our race, -a sentinal keeping watch over the destiof our people. It is the vital spinal cord that connects remotest past to the remotest future. The name that mates and identifies our nation with a river like that, nature on our side and bases our national life on a mulation that is, so far as human calculations are concernas lasting as eternity. All these considerations must fired the imaginations of the then leaders of thought at action and made them restore the ancient Vedic name ar land and nation सिंधुस्थान—the "राष्ट्रमायस्य बोत्तमम् ।" The epithet fugrate besides being Vedic had also a curious all antage which could only be called lucky and yet is too antial to be ignored. The word निषु in संस्कृत does not The most ancient of the names of our country of which we mean the Indus but also the sea-" जनुद्रश्चना" ments out almost all the frontiers of our land at a single droke. Even if we do not accept the tradition that the river yas and thus constitutes both our eastern as well as wellfrontiers, still it is indisputably true that it circumscribes and rally been, the mere executive outcome of a strong and northern and western extremities in its sweep and so was movement. For, the custom of looking upon was epithet विश्वस्थान calls up the image of our whole Motherland were veritable Indian land's end as the very word अटक —the land that lies between fay and fay—from the In the land not have been originated and observed so to the Seas.

mended itself to our patriots only because it was graphically best fitted. For, we find it emphatically states and peasants alike, is a good proof that that the concept expressed by this word was national and merely geographical, सिश्रह्यान was not merely a piece land but it was a TE-a nation which was ideally if always actually a state (राज्ञ: राष्ट्रम्). It also clearly follow that the culture that flourished in figural and the citizen there-of were firs even as they had been in the Ven days. सिधुस्यान was the "राष्ट्रमार्यस्य चोत्तमम् " as distingui ed from म्लेच्छस्थान the land of the foreigners. However must be clearly pointed out that the definition is not base on any theological hair splitting or religious fanaticism. I word wird is expressly stated in the very verses to mean those who had been in-corporated as parts integral in I nation and people that flourished on this our side of Indus whether वैदिक or अवैदिक, ब्राह्मण or चांडास, and own and claimed to have inherited a common cultur common blood, common country and common polity; who also by the very fact of its being put in opposition विपुस्यान meant foreigners nationally and racially and n necessarily religiously.

Royal Decree was as all Royal Decrees in सिमुस्यान had versally and so long, had it not been inspired by and But it must not be supposed that the epithet fay read ling to our national imagination. This custom that is enaciously and reverently observed by millions of our ongly corroborates the fact that some such royal edict tioning the identification of our frontiers with the ancient and associating the name of our land and nation with ा विश्वस्थान had actually been issued; and that the highest jous sanctification consecrating this royal sanction and must have enabled this attempt to restore the adic name of our country to triumph in the end. Of course nturies had yet to pass and momentous events to happen hape and mould the destinies of these words fig and ्रियान till they came to be as powerfully influential as to wour the thought of our whole nation and be the cherished ession of our race. But after all they have done it and waty we find that while thousands would not know what भारतच्य exactly means yet the very man in the will understand and recognize the names fee and ार्पान as his very own.*

> The verses from महिद्यप्राण quoted above seem to be quite trustwithy so far as their general purport is concerned : firstly, because record a general tradition that, unlike dates or individual succes-

But before we proceed to state what further developthe history of this epithet had to undergo we feel it incumto render an apology to ourselves. We have writing this section wounded our own feelings. So we ten to add that the few harsh words we had to say in plaining the political necessity that led to the rejection Buddhism in India should not be understood to mean we have not a very high opinion of that Church as a will No. no! I am as humble an admirer and an adorer of great and holy in-the holiest the world has ever seensions, can easily be remembered longer. Secondly, independently that, the general trend of our history as shown points to some state of affairs. Thirdly, it is not necessary here for our argumto be very precise either about the date of this Decree or even king by whom it was issued; and fourthly, the author does not to have been writing about things only half-hazardly or to which is ontirely a stranger. For the family table that he gives of House of Vikramaditya is again given in other part of the work the two agree closely with each other. The writer who knows of tails about the House is likely to know the Sallest faces of the distinguished king that belonged to it.

After all, the main resources of our history had been and mover be our national traditions remembered or recorded in our ance. Puranas, Epics and Literature. Their details may be challenged that dates determined and rejected, but on account of discrepance here or miraculous coloring there which are in fact common all ancient records of mankind we cannot dismiss them all gether; especially where the facts recorded have not an impossible unnatural element in them or when they do not contradict even otherwise proved to be indisputably true. The habit of doubton every thing in the Puranas till it has been corroborated by see

of its initiated worshipper. We are not initiated, not the the the is not worthy of us but because we are not the of stepping on the footsteps of the Temple—that has longer because it rested on ideas than many a great that rested on rocks. The consciousness that the treat and the most successful attempt to wean man of the inherent in him was conceived, launched and carried on century to century by a galaxy of great teachers—Arhats

Bhikkus who were born in India, who were bred in India

a evidence abourd. The counter process would be to depend works especially where general traditions and events are conbill they are found to be unreliable in the light of any more and less ambiguous avidence and not simply on account of maginings of some one to whom 'it does not seem probable'! the case of this affected itself : because it contains some memories and even absurdities and is Plutarch free from them? to reject the personality of Alexander himself because of the matural touches given to the stery of his birth? Would it be hle to doubt, say, the following verse: चंद्रगप्तस्य सतः पारस्या-वताम् । सहवस्य तथोद्वाह्य यावनी बोद्धतत्यरः । In fact we owe a and emitted to these Puranas and Epies for having preserved secret and venerable records of our people through revolutions had effaced the very traces of whole nations and whole civilizelse where in the world. For, after all these records of our and patriotic प्राण्ड and इतिहासुड are at any rate more faithmore accurate and more reliable than the modern up-to-date and an action of that have such convincing discoveries to their credit as which assures us that THING sings of the foundation of fa-ा नगर or the other which asserts that गैतिम-the Buddha was the sun or the dawn personified !!

and who owned India as the land of their worship-fills us were the law of Righteousness rules triumphant on feelings too deep for words. And if these be our feelings for then what shall we say about its great Founder-Buddha—the Enlightened? I, the humblest of the humb mankind can dare to approach thee, Ohत्यागत ! with no offerings but my utter humility and my utter empto-Although I feel that I fail to catch the purport of thy wo yet I know that it must be so. Because while thy words gathered from the lips of Gods, mine ears and my unstandings are trained to the accents and the din of this matter of-fact world. Perhaps it was too soon for thee to sound march and unfurl thy banner while the world was too you and the day but just risen! It fails to keep pace with thee its sight gets dazzled and dimmed to keep the radiance thy banner in full view. As long as the law evolution that lays down the iron command " चलानामचला गा दंष्ट्रणामप्यदंष्ट्रणः । अहम्तानां सहस्ताथ शराणां नेव भीरवः ॥ (गर् is too persistent and dangerously imminent to be catagories denied by the law of rightcousness whose mottoes shine imliantly and beautifully-but as the stars in the heavdo,-so long the banner of Nationality will refuse to be n placed by that of Universality and yet, that very nation banner hollowed as it is by the worship of gods and godes of our race, would have been poorer if it could not have counted the शाक्यांसेंड under its fold. But as it is, thou ours as truly as Shri Ram or Shri Krishna or Shri Mahay had been and as thy words were but the echoes of yearning of our national soul, thy visions the dreams of our race, even

our human plane, then thou wilt find that the land that thee, and the people that nursed thee, will have buted most to bring about that consumation, if inthe fact of having contributed thee has not proved that already!!

IV

So far we have depended upon sized records in tracing growth of the word fay and we have left the thread of inquiry at the point where the growing concept of an Innation was found to be better expressed by the word figure than by any other existing words. It was precisely to fute any parochial and narrow minded significance will might, as in the case of sirging be attached to this want that the definition of the word fageria was rid of any sociation with a particular institution or party-colosuggestion. For example squitt was according an authority नातुवंब्यंध्यवस्थानं विसान्देशे न विद्यते । तं महेका बानीयादायावतस्ततः परम् ॥ This solution, though legitim could not be lasting. An institution is meant for the soci not the society or its ideal for an institution. The sager व्यवस्थान may disappear when it had served its end or cen to serve it, but will that make our land a "म्लेस्डर्श "-a land" foreigners? The संन्यासिन्ड, the आयंग्रमानोड, the शिलाड, many others do not recognize the चातुर्वण्येन्यवस्था and yet they foreigners? God forbid! They are ours by blood. race, by country, by God. " तं वर्षे भारतं नाम भारती यत्र शंतांत is a definition ten times better because truer than that! Hindus are all one and a nation, because chiefly of our co mon blood-" मारती चंतति:

At this period of our history—the rise as well as the of Buddhism were accompanied by a remarkable spread acrowth of the vernaculars of India and the was fast be

up in the impenetrable fortresses of classical convenmanty to such an extent that new ideas and new names had sanskritised before they could be incorporated in any ptable work. Naturally the every day life and the ever ming phases of national and social activities gradually through the spoken And which thus better fitted to convey the living and throbbing thoughts people in all their freshness and vigour and precision. although the words चित्र and चित्रसान are at found in sanskrit works, yet the sanskrit writers geneby preferred the word भारत as being more in consonance the established cannons of elegance. While on the hand the vernaculars stuck almost exclusively to the popular and living name of our land fagrara [lagrara], and of the ancient and well-beloved names with or orginal. need not repeat here how in sepon gets at times mored into g in Indian as well as non-Indian water. So we the living vernacular literature of India full therence to हिंदुस्थान or हिंदुs. Although the संस्कृत mage must ever remain the cherished and sacred possesof our race, contributing most powerfully to the fundaand unity of our people and enriching our life, ennobling aspirations and purifying the fountains of our being, the honour of being the living spoken national tongue of people is already won by that Ared, which being one I the eldest daughters of tiend, is most fittingly called ा or हिंदुस्थानी—the language of the national and cultural secondants of the ancient सिचुंड or हिंदुड. हिंदुस्थानी क

par-excellence the language of दिवस्थान or सिम्रस्थान The tempt to raise Hindi to the pedestal of our national tonnaneither new nor forced. Centuries before the advent British rule in India we find it recorded in our annals this was the medium of expression throughout India sadhu or merchant starting from Rameshwaram and pe ceeding to Haridwar, could make himself understood in parts of India through this tongue. Sanskrit might have introduced him to the circles of Pandits and Princes Hindustani was a safe and sure passport to the राजप्रका well as to the bazzars. A Nanak, a Chaitanya, a Ram could and did travel up and down the country as freely they would have done in their own provinces teaching a preaching in this tongue. As the growth and development this our genuine national tongue was parallel to and almsmootheneous with the revival and popularization of ancient names विश्वत्यान or विश्वत, or हिंदुस्थान or िंदुंड it w but a matter of course that that language being common possession of the whole nation should be call-हिद्द्यानी or हिंदी.

After expulsion of Huus and the Shaks the valour of learns left firsters in an undistubred possession of independence for centuries on centuries to come and enabled to once more to be the land where peace and plenty reigned. The blessings of freedom and independance were shared by the peaces and peasants alike. The patriotic authors go in rapture over the greatness and the happiness that marked this long chapte of our history extending over nearly a thousand years or a

पान स्थितो देव: देशे देशे स्थितो प्रथा: । गेहे पेहे स्थित इब्ये धर्मधेव । " (भविष्यपुराण प्रतिसर्गपर्व). From शिहल (Ceylon) to the Rajputs—a single family of princess—ruled, often the closely by marriages and more closely by the tradiof chivalry and culture handed down by a common union and a common law. The whole life of the was being brought into a harmony as rich as divice, the growth of a national language was but an outward ton of this inward unity of our national life.

as it often happens in history this very undisturbed ment of peace and plenty billed our fewers, in a sense while security and bred a habit of living in the land of At last, she was rudely awakened on the day Mohamad of Gazani crossed the Indus, the frontier line FIFE, and invaded her. That day the conflict of life death began. Nothing makes Self conscious of itself so the conflict with the non-Self. Nothing can weld into a nation and nations into a state as the pressure ommon foe. Hatred separates as well as unites. Never lovered a better chance and a more powerful stimulus who berself forged into an indivisible whole as on that dire when the great Iconoclast crossed the Indus. The modans had crossed that stream even under Kasim but was a wound only skin-deep, for the heart of our people not hurt and was not even simed at. The contest began with earnestness with Mohmad and ended-shall we with Abdalli? From year to year, decade to decade, many to century, the contest continued. Arabia ceased

to be what Arabia was; Iran annihilated; Egypt, 54 Afghanistan, Baluchistan, Tartary,-from Granada to Garanations and civilizations fell in heaps before the sword Islam-of Peace !! But here for the first time the sword ceeded in striking but not in killing. It grew blunter time it struck, each time it cut deep but as it was lifted to strike again the wound stood healed. Vitality of victim proved stronger than the vitality of the victor. contrast was not only grim but it was monstrously unequal It was not a race, a nation or a people India had to struct with. It was nearly all Asia, quickly to be followed nearly all Europe. The Arabs had entered Sindh and sind handed they could do little else. They soon failed to dela their own independence in their homeland and as a peowe hear nothing further about them. But here India had to face Arabs, Persians, Pathans, Baluchis, Tara-Turks, Moguls-a veritable human Sahara whirling and columing up bodily in a furious world storm! Religion is a might motive force. So is Rapine. But where Religion is good on by Rapine and Rapine serves as a hand-maid to Religion the propelling force that is generated by these together only equalled by the profoundity of human misery and o vastation they leave behind them in their march. Heave and Hell making a common cause-such were the forces, ovwhelmingly furious, that took India by surpirse the day !! Mohmad crossed the Indus and invaded her. Day after d decade after decade, centuries after centuries, the ghastly of flict continued and India single-handed kept the fight morn

throne and Darashukoh was born. The frantic efforts through the retrieve their fortunes lost in the moral total pastened the loss of the military fortunes in the field as well. At last Bhan, as if symbolically, hamber the ceiling of the Imperial Seat of the Moguls to pieces, the Garain total pastened to Panipat rose, the Hindus lost the battle-and won the Never again had an Agfan dared to penetrate to While the triumphant Hindu banner that our Marahad carried to the Atak was taken up by our Sikhs and ped across Indus to the banks of the Kabul

In this prolonged furious conflict our people became inmaly conscious of ourselves as Hindus and were welded a nation to an extent un-known in our history. It must be forgotten that we have all along referred to the proof the Hindu movement as a whole and not to that of my particular creed or religious section thereof-of हिंदुत्व and Hinduism only. Sanatanists, Satnamis, Sikhs, Aryas, Marathas and Madrasis, Brahmins and Panchaall suffered as Hindus and triumphed as Hindus Both words and fees contributed equally to enable the words Madu and Hindusthan to supercede all other designations of and and our people. आबांबत and दक्षिणापय, जंबद्वीप and भारrei none could give so eloquent an expression to the main political and cultural point at issue as the word Hindusthan weld do. All those on this side of Indus who claimed the had from fay to fay, from Indus to the seas, as the land of their birth, felt that they were directly mentioned by that

one single expression हिंदुस्थान. The enemies hated us Hindus and the whole family of peoples and races, of and creeds, that flourished from MET to The was sunder individualised into a single Being. We cannot help dropped the remark that no one has up to this time taken the will field of Hindu activities from A.D. 1300 to A.D. 1800 into sur from this point of view mastering the details of the various no parallel, now corelated, movements from Kashmere to Coyles and from Sindh to Bengal, and yet rising higher above the all to visualise the whole scene in its proportion as an interwhole. For, it was the one great issue to defend the honor and independance of Hindusthan and maintain the culturunity and civic life of ferra, and not Hinduism alone, - but ferra i.e., हिंदुधमें :- that was being fought out on the hundred field of battle as well as on the floor of the chambers of diplomate This one word feed ran like a vital spinal cord through our whole body politic and made the Nayars of Malabar we over the sufferings of the Brahmins of Kashmere. Ou bards bewailed the fall of Hindus, our seers roused the fee ings of Hindus, our heroes faugut the battles of Hindus, or saints blessed the efforts of Hindus, our statesmen mouldethe fate of Hindus, our mothers wept over the wounds an gloried over the triumphs of Hindus.

It would require a volume if we were to substantial these remarks by quoting all the words and writings of or forelathers that bear on the point. But the argument in hand does not allow us to be drawn aside even by so allumn a task as that. Consequently we must content ourselves with

onting a few eloquent lines either from the lips or the pen of the foremost representatives of our Hindu race.

all the works written in the Hindi language, old and new, ereat epic "प्रश्नीराज रासी" by Chand Bardai is, so far present researches go, admittedly the most ancient and thoritative one. There is only one solitary verse which ams to be an earlier composition. But luckly and strange-mough this very first composition in our Northern Vernati literature refers to the word Hindusthan in terms full pride and patriotic fervour. The poet चेन, father of प्रश्नीराज :-

अटल टाट महिपाट, अटल ताराग्डवानं अटल नम्र अवनेर, अटल हिंदन अस्थानं अटल तेन परताप, अटल लंकागढ डंडिन अटल आप बहुवान, अटल भूमिनस मंडिय संभरी भूप सोमेसनुप, अटल छत्र ओप सुसर कृतिरान बेन आसीत है, अटल जगी रनेसकर.

बहुबहुद्दाह, who may justly be called आहिदान of Hindi literature, uses the words हिंदू, हिद्दान, हिंद so often and so aturally as to leave no doubt of their being quite common and coupted terms as far back as the eleventh century, when the behamadans had not secured any permanent footing even in minds and therefore could not have influenced the independent and proud Rajputs to adopt a degrading nick-name wented by their foes, and make it their national and proud upelation. Describing how आहापुद्दान taken prisoner by the lindus, was let go by the noble पूजाराज on condition that he would not again attack the "Hindus," चंद says—

" राबि पंचित्त साहि अदब आदर बहु किबी. छुत्र हुसेन गानी सुप्त हम्ये प्रहि दिन्नी किय सलाम तिनवार बाहु अपने सुवानह मित हिंदुपर साहि सजित्र आशी स्वस्थानह "

(पृ. राम्रो स. ९)

But शहानुहोन was not a man to be won over by Hinchivalry. Again and again he sallies forth and fierce necessures to the boundless joy of that divine cynic नारह:—

" जब हिंदुदल बोर हुअ बुट्टि मीरघर भ्रम असमय अरबस्तान चला करन उद्ग्रसक्म " gain. " जरे दिंद मीर्ट बने करण तार्र

and again, " जुरे हिंदु मीरं, बहे खरग तारं, मुखे मारमारं बहे मुरसारं.

till at last हिंदु म्लेच्छ अघाइ पाइन,

नीव नारद युद्ध चायन!! "
But in spite of his efforts to crush the Hindus, बहाबुद्दान he
the day and the triumphant news sent Delhi mad with m
that पञ्चनराय had once more taken बहाबुद्दान a prisoner. I

populace greeted their king प्रश्लीराज:-

" आज भाग चहुआन घर । आज भाग हिंदवान ॥ इन जीवित दिल्लीश्वर । गंज न सके आन ॥ "

Further pledges solemnly entered by the man who has broken his former pledges as solemnly given, succeeded a securing the release of the Shah once more, and once more but now for the last time, did he invade Hindusthan and by fell swoop was almost at the gate of Delhi. The council of war is hurriedly summoned by the "Exqia" quality, insolem

when बागुंडराब tells the Mohamedan messenger to re
1 Shah of the dust he had licked and adds—

" निकंप्स म्लेप्स स्लेप्स स्केप नहीं । इस हिंदु सम्मान् ॥ "

The fatal day drew near and both the sides knew it was a parate game. चंद्रपदाई almost on the eve of the defection of हम्मोर, approaches the Goddess दुगा and opens his ever, so pathetic and so patriotic thus—

" हुग्ये हिंदुराजान बंदीन आवे बंदै बाप जारुघरं तूं सहाये नमस्ते नमस्ते इ जारुंघरानी सुरं आसुरं नागपूजा प्रमानी."

After having narrated the fateful results of the battle and equent plot that enabled quarter to strike using dead, poem ends with paying a last touching tribute to the Hindu Emperror—

" घनि हिंदु प्रथिराण, जिने रचवह उजारिय घनि हिंदु प्रथिराण, बोल कल्पिक्स उचारिय घनि हिंदु प्रथिराण, जैन सुविहान ह संच्यो बारबारह प्रहिसुद्धिक, अंतकाल सर बंच्यो "

It is remarkable that although the word with appears then in the triff in the sense of without yet it seldom, it was, is used in the sense of without. What we find in this wilest of our northern vernacular composition holds goods the latter development of our vernacular literature down the day of the great Hindu Revival and the war of Hindu liberation. That the high priest and prophet of that movement, in one of his mystical and prophetic utterances sings

of the vision he had seen and triumphantly but thanking asserts that much of what he had seen in his vision had ready come to be true:—

ा स्वप्नां में देखिल राजी, ते ते तैसेचि होतसे हिंडतां फिरतां गेलां, आनंदवनभवनी ॥ १ ॥ बढाले सर्वही पापी, हिंदस्थान बळावलें अभकाचा स्यो झाला, आनंदवनभूवनी ॥ २ ॥ करपांत मांडिला मोठा, म्लेच्छ दैश्य बुडाववा कैपस घेतला देवी, आनंदवनभवनी ॥ ३ ॥ वेष्न वाढला धर्म, राजधर्मासमागर्मे संतोष मांडिला मेला, आनंद्यनभूवनी ॥ ४ ॥ बुडाला औरंग्या पापी, म्लेच्छ वंहार आहला मोडिली मोडिली छत्रे, आनंदवनभवनी ॥ ५ ॥ बोलणें बाउमें होते, चालणें पाहिने बरें पुढें घडेल तें करें, आनंद्वनभवनी ॥ ६ ॥ उदंह जाइसे पाणी, स्नानसंघ्या करावया जपतप अनुष्ठाने, आनंदवनभूवनी ॥ ७ ॥ स्मरल लिहिले आहे, बोलता चानता हरी राम कर्ता राम भोजा, आनंदवनभवनी ॥ ८॥

त्या, the Hindu poet who was one of the most pro He of our national bards that went up and down the country and roused "हिंद्यान" to action and achievement in those of the war of Hindu liberation, challenged औरंगनेव—

अन्य भरी शिवनांसे लरी सब सैयद सेख पठान पठायके। भूषन थां गढकोटन हारे उहां तुग क्यों मठ तोरे रिनायके।। हिंदुनके पति सोंन विसात सतावत हिंदु गरीवन पत्यके। लीने कलंक न दिझांके बालम आलम आलमगार कहायके।।

Meain at another place भृषण अपुष्ट" जगतमं जीते सहाधीर महाराजन ते
सहाराज बावन हे पातसाह लेवाने ।
पानसाह बावनी दिहाले पातशाह दिलेपित
पातसाह जीसी हिंदुपति सेवाने "
" दाढांके रखैयन की दाढीसी रहित छाति
बाढी जस मयीद हह हिंदुवानेकी
कदि गयि रयतिके मनकी कर्मक मिट गयी
ठसक तमाम तुरकानेकी
भूषन भनत दिलापति दिस धक्षक मुनिमुनि

[&]quot;In utter darkness I dreamt, behold dreams are realized! Hindurthan is up, has come by her own, and those that hated her as sinned against God are put down with a strong leand! Verily it is boly land and happy! For, God has made her cause his own as Aurangeabs is down! The dethroned are enthroach and the enthrone is dethroned! Actions peak better than word! Verily frequents a holy land and happy. Now that was is backed up by that Right by Might, the waters of Hind, no longer defiled, can what may: Rama has made this land boly and happy!

[&]quot;Thou art so busy in winning easy victories over the poor Hinds and beggars there!—Why does thou fight so shy to face the third himself? Thou hast lost fort after fort in the fair field here: a perhaps why thou art distinguishing thyself by pulling down unding convents, churches, and chapels there! Art thou not ashamed all thyself appropriate conquerer of the world, when thyself are vanquished by the Hindu Emperor Shivaji:

१ जलीसी.

मोठी मयि चंडी बन चेटीके बवाय सीस
सोटी मयि संपति चकताके परानेकी ॥ "
Speaking of things that Shivaji achieved भूषण says—
रासी हिंदुवानी, हिंदुवानके तिलक राख्यो,
स्मृति और पुराण राख्यो, वेद विधी सानि मै
रासी रजपूती राजधानी राखी राजनकी,
घरामें घरम राख्यो राख्यो गुण गुणीमें
भूषण सुकविजीति हद्द मरहहनकी, देसदेस
कारित बसानि तव सानि मै
साहिके सुपूत सिवराज समसेर तेरी, दिखीदल
दाबिके, दिवाल र राखि दुनिमें।॥

It was in this light that achievements of Shivaji and b compatriots were viewed by his race through out Hindu than सुवण though not a भारत, felt as much proud the victorious march of the Maratha warriors from Shive to Bajirao (vide सुवणवंशावली) as they themselves did. It was a Hindu of Hindus and till the last day of his life he kap on singing his stirring songs, emphasizing the national and Pan-Hindu aspect of the movement and impressing it on the minds of its great leaders. Amongst these अवसार, unbrave Bundela king, was his second favourite:—

"हैवर इरह हे साबि, गैवर , गरह धमपैदर घह फीज तुरकानकी सुवण भनत रायचंपतिको छत्रसाल रोप्यो रनस्याल के ढाल डिंदचानेकी"

Nor was this tribute paid to हत्रसाल undeserved. सत्रसाल www

🤊 बाबरके धरानेकी. २ देवास्त्य. ३ अश्व ४ इष्टपुष्ट ५ गजबर ६ संघ

like शिवाजी, राजसिंह, गुरुगोविंदसिंह-the "ढाल हिंद्वानेकी". He

हिंदु तुरक दीन हूँ गाये। तिनसों बैर सदा चिल आये।। लक्ष्यो सुर असुरनको जैसो। केहिर बरिन बसानों तैसो॥ जबते शहा तस्त्रतपर बैठे। तब तै हिंदुन साँ उर हाठे॥ सहगेकर तीरयनि लगाये। बेद देवाले निद्र दहाये॥ गब रजपूत सीर नित नाव। ऐड करे नित पैदल धावे॥ ऐड एक शिवराज नियाही। करें आपके चित्तिके चाही॥ आठ पातसाही सुक होरै। सुवनि बांधि डांड लै छोरै॥

uter the historical visit paid by छत्रसाल to शिवामी the at Bundela leader, greatly encouraged by the latter न छत्री सिरतान। बात आपनी मुसिकी करी देशको राज ॥ "—met कार्तिह who was a powerful Rajput chief in Bundelkhand. the conversation that followed छन्जनसिंह draws a moving ture of the political situation of the country—

"पातसाह लागे करन, हिंदुधमें कीनासु
साधि करि चेपतरायकी, लड़ बुंदला सासु
जब तै चेपति करयी पयानी, तबते परयी हीन हिंदबानी.
लग्यो होग तुरकनकी जोरा, को राखे हिंदुनको तोरा
अब जो तुम कटि कसी कुपानी, तो फिरि बढ़े हिंदुमुख पानी "
गुनानसिंह, the old Raja saying thus offered his sword and
art to छन्नसाल and blessed him and his mission:—
यह कहि ग्रीति हिये जमगाई। दिये पान किरवान बधाई
दोल हाथ मायपर राखे। पूरन करी कान अभिलाखे
हिंदुधरम जग नाइ चलावी। दीरि दिलीदल इलनि इलावो
(जन्ममकास) १

⁽৭) ভসসভাৱা the historical work that describes the events of ভসলাস's reign, was composed under his direct orders by ভালভাবি.

देगवहादर, the Great Guru who not only championed to cause of this War of Hindu Liberation in Punjab but to down his life for it, is reported to have advised the Brahm of Kashmere who, oppressed and threatened with "Islam death", solicited his help:—

तुम सुनो दिशेस दिग तुर्केस अवस इमगाबो इक पीर इमारा हिंदु भारा भाइनारा छल पानो है तेगबहादुर बगत उजागर ता आगर तुर्के करो तिसपाने तबदी इस फिर सबही बन है तुरक मरो (पंषप्रकाश)

And when he was challenged by the foes of the race and maligion he boldly answered:—

२ " तिन ते सुन श्री तेगवहादुर । घर्म निवाइन विषे बहादुर उत्तर मनयो धर्म इस हिंदु । अति प्रियको किमकरे निबंदु "

(सर्वप्रकाश)

His illustrious son go un at once the poet, the prophet, and the warrior of our Hindu race and our Hindu culture exclaims in a moment of inspiration—

३ " नकत बगतमें सालमा पंथ गाने

जने धम हिंदु सकल मंद्र भाजे ॥

(विवित्र नाटक by गुरु गोविंदसिंह)

chronicler of Shivaji in the old work "शिवछत्रपतीचे चीरत्र"
पशिवागीचे मनीत आले ने आपण हिंदु. सर्व दक्षिण देश यवनांनी
कात केला क्षेत्रास पीडा केली. हिंदुधमें बुडविला. प्राणही देलन धर्म
भाषते पराक्रमें नवीन दौलत संपादं, ते अन्न मध्रं.

ां the shrewd and trusted Dadaji advised—2 "आएण म्हणतां पर बांगलें बरें, पण बाबा शेंबट लागणें परम दुष्कर. यास मातवर स्वलें । हिंदु राजे व हिंदु फीजा जागजागीं साह्यकर्ता असाव्या. ईसराचें सब व सिद पुरुषांचा आशिर्वाद असतीं अशा गोष्टी घडतींल."

(चिटणीस बसार.)

tod yet Dadaji was the guiding hand of the whole moveThe youthful दिवाजी writes in 1646 A. D. to one
young compatriots — " सहास तुम्ही आपनी बेमानागरी क-

t. Oh Brahmins! Listen. You go and tell the Turks (Mohamdans) without fear " there is a great Hindu leader of ours, with lasof followers. His name is Tel Balandur-Uplifter and Awakener of mankind.—First make him embrace Islam and then we will all do the

^{3 &}quot; May this Khalin Panth doursell everywhere (so that) long may Hinde Dharm live and all falsehood vanish !!

Shrvaji "thought to himself—"We are Hiedus. The Mahahave subjugated the entire Deccan. They have defiled our places. In fact they have descrated our religion. We refere protect our religion and for that we would even lose our We will acquire new kingdoms by our provess and that bread

Your plans are certainly very good; but it would be exceedincult to carry them to a faush. In the first place you are to
the powerful centres. Hindu Kings and Hindu armies trust
times from place to place. Again God Almighty must be
de and we must be blessed with the benediction of consumate
and then these things are possible."

You would not be faithless to the Emperor. Our primordeal Cod is self-existing (and therefore all powerful). He has given to our efforts so long and in future also will fulfil the object of by largeing about the establishment of [2341447134 (Hindu dence). Indeed it is the cherished wish of God that such a should be established."

रांत नाहाँ. आदि कुलदेव स्वयंभू, खांनी आम्हास यश दिलें व पुढें तो म रथ हिन्द्वी स्वराज्य करून पुरिवणार आहे. हें राज्य व्हावें हें श्री क नांत फार आहे. "

Mr. Rajwade has the original copy of this letter which weals, as it were, the soul of the great Hindu movement in seventeenth and eighteenth centuries. It was no paroch movement—it was featier—the Hindu Empire—that the great ideal which had fired the imagination and goal the actions of Shivaji while he was but within his to we have his own word for it.

But when Jaysing-a Rajput prince came to subdue Shivand his movement, the edge of Shivaji's power of resistence became very naturally blunted. It was disheartening in extreme to find the Rajputs—the ancient shield of दिवा shedding their blood and the blood of their coreligion and brother Hindus that the Mohamedans may win! Shivaji to Jaysingh—"तुम्हास के विक्षे पाहिकेत ते भी देता. निय चहिता. पण मुसलमानांस यश न देणें. मी दिवा आपण रजपृत तेव्हां विकास सुळवें हिंदूचें. हिंदुधमारक्षकापुढें भी डोकें शतदा नमवीन पण पियांची मानहानी होईल असे कथींही कडणार नाहीं!!"

Jaysingh was doubtless touched and replied—"औरंगजेंद बाद-गाह पृथ्वीपति. त्याशाँ तुम्ही सहय करावें. शत्रुत्वाने राहून या काली परिणाम लागणार नाहीं. आम्ही हिंदू नयपूरचे राजे. तुम्ही हिंदूच. तुम्ही हिंदुधमें स्था-पन करती यास्तव आम्ही तुम्हास अनुकुल आहों."

The rise of Hindu power under Shivaji had electrified the Hindu mind all over India. The oppressed looked upon him as an Avatar and a Savior. Thus we find that the people of the Savnoor District groaning under the Mohamedan yoke appeal to him " हा युमुफ फार खस्त आहे. बायकापीरांस उपदव हेणें. जुल्म गोवधादि निय कमें आमही त्याचे हाताबाली बागण्यास कंटालकों. तुम्ही हिंदुधमांचे संस्थापक. म्लेस्डाचे नाहाक. म्हणून तुम्हाकडे आलों. तुम्हाकडे आलों. तुम्हाकडे आलों महणून आमचे द्वारा चीकी वसली आहे. अन्नपाण्यावाचुन चीव विषयास उग्रुक्त झाले आहेत. तरी राष्ट्रीचा दिवस कक्ष्म येणें. "

Again after Shivaji had restored the Jahagir to his brother at Tanjore on the condition that he should cease to recognize the sovereignty of the Mohamedan sway, Shivaji

- r. Emperor Aurangazeb is a very powerful sovereign. You should therefore agree to make terms with him. You will be able to live in peace by maintaining hostile relations with him. We, Princes or Jaipur, are Hindus; you are also a Hindu. We are in accord with you since you are out to rehabilitate Hindu rebgion.
- 2. This Yusuf is a very wicked fellow. He oppresses the women and the children, commits atrocities and even resorts to such reprehensible misdeeds as the massacre of cown! We are so disgusted that we can no longer live under him. You are the restorer of the Hindu religion and the destroyer of the Michhas (foreigners). It is therefore that we have come to you for refuse. And since we have so approached guards have been stationed at our gates. In fact they are intent on starving us here without food and water. So do come with all haste (lit. by turning nights in to days.)

I myself will plant your flag on them. But let not these Mohdans triumph. I am a Hindu; you are a Ralput and therefore a Hindu the lingdom has originally been of the Hindus. I will humble head a hundred times before one who protects the Hindu religion.

writes:— ' " दुष्ट हिंदुविद्वेषी यांस आपले राज्यांत के

Rajaram, in order to express his sense of appreciation of the national services of खंदानों and his brothers in the war of independence, conferred on बहीरनी the high and proud appelation "हिंदुरान". When the siege at Jinji was pressing the Maratha forces, to try their best to break through it an attempt was made to win over the Marathas in the services of the Mogul commander:—" नागोजी राजे यांनवरे संघान के तुम्ही आम्ही एक सत्यास ही फीज मोहून हिंदुधमें जतन कहें. त्यापशी तुम्ही फुट्टन आम्हाकडे यावें". तेव्हां नागोजीराजे मुसलमानी नोकरी सोहन मोर्चे उठव्न, शहरीत ५००० फीजोनिशी थेले....... शिवें हे मोगलाचें उठव्न, शहरीत ५००० फीजोनिशी थेले....... शिवें हे मोगलाचें वावेदार बनले (कारण त्यांचे संभाजांने शिरकाण केले. तेव्हां खंडो-बाल महणाले " तुमचे शिरकाण केलें. तसेंच आमचेही तीन पुरुष हत्तांपारी

कराविले. परंतु हिंदुच्या दौलतीकरितां आम्ही करत आहाँत. तुम्ही तों भागी-कार्ता. " तेव्हां शिकें पण कारस्थानांत आले. व मराठ्यांस मिळ्न बिजीहून काराम शत्रच्या वेट्यास तोहन सुद्दन गेले.

बाहू had once entered into a controversy with नयसिंग जनाई) on the point हिंदुधमांचे रक्षणासाठीं मां काय व ते काय केलेंस!''

The same spirit animated the generations of Bajirao and Naisaheb. Says the historian "'पुष्कळांना बाबीरावाच्याच उद्योगाचे गुकरण व परिपोप केलेळा दिसती.... महाँदस्वामी, गोविंद दीक्षित वगैरे गामर यात्रा कहन अनुभव घेतलेल्या साधुपुरुषाच्या टिकाणा वरील हिन्दुपद्पाद्शाहीची भावना एकरण पावत होती व ते आपल्या सव गामवर्गास याच भावनेने उपदेशित होते." (सरदेसाई). याजीराव स्वतः गणतातः—"अरे बचतां काय ? चळा जोरान चाळ कहन हिन्दुपद् गादशाहीस आतां उद्योर काय ?" (बाजीराव).

Brahmendra Swami was the central figure of the intelctuals of that period. "'प्रेत हिंदुभमांचा उच्छेद ज्या राज्यांत होता

^{1.} Those who are bitter haters of Hindus should have no footing in your territory.

^{2 &}quot;Secret negotiations were opened with Nagoji Raje to the edect that if he joins with the Marathas they would break the enemy's forces and preserve the Hindu religion. He should therefore come over to them." Thereupon Nagoji Raje gave up service under the Mohamadans and withdrawing the attack entered the city with he battallon numbering five thousands.... When Shirke entered the service of the Moguls (as Sambhaji had beheaded the Shirka family) Khandoji Ballal said, "Shirkes had been beheaded; but nimilarly three of my ancestors were killed by being trampled under the foot of an elephant. But we are striving for the establishment of the kingdom of the Hindus and you must be our partners." Then, Shirke also entered the plot and helped the Marathas with the result that Rajaram broke through the siege and escaped.

स्वास भेटणें स्वामीस बोग्य वाटलें नाही!.....हिंदूंच्या साम्राज्यांत देवा-ब्राम्हणांचा छळ होणें ही गोष्ट किती लज्जास्पद आहे ही गोष्ट त्यानें शाहच्या मनांत भरवन दिली.'' (सरदेसाई-)

Mathurabai writes to the Swami:— "शंकरानी मोहिते, गणोना शिदे, खंडोजी नाळकर, रामाजी खराडे, कृष्णाजी मोड इत्यादि मातवर सर-दारोनी राज्य रक्षण करून आमखांचा मोड केंद्रा व कोकणांत हिंदुधम राखडा!" The letters sent by this brave lady, Mathurabai Angre, are all so full with patriotic fervour and force that they deserve a perusal from all those who want to catch the real spirit of the great Hindu revival.

The Portuguese fanalicism at Goa was an Indian edition of the Inquisition in Europe. Once they prohibited the open observation of all Hindu religious rites and rituals. Then the public spirited cirrin typical defied the order and encouraged other Hindus to do the same. But he knew perfectly well that impotent passive resistence is impotent softering. To be accessful under such conditions as then prevailed it must be backed up by the sword of a Bajirao or a Chimanaji. It was sin in typical who brought about the revolution in the Portuguese territories in India, enlisted the sympathies of all Hindu leaders on the side of Bajirao and in fact was the prime mover who brought about the Maratha invasion which ended in the liberations of almost all the Hindu territories after the triumphant campaign of Chimanaji Appa.

But in the meanwhile and before the fall of वसंद, Nadirah invaded India and Delhi had fallen in his hands. The matha agents of Bajirao write to him:—' "तइमास्पक्रतीसान विदेव नाहों के पृथ्वी बापून काढील. जवरदस्तायाँ मुन्त करील. म्हणून काढील. जवरदस्तायाँ मुन्त करील. म्हणून कादाँ जवरदस्तायाँ मुन्त करील. म्हणून कादाँ जवरदस्तायाँ मुन्त करील. महणून कादाँ जापूत व स्वामी (वाजीराव) एक जागा झालिया निकाल पडेल मस्तांस (हिंदूस) बुदेले वगैरे एक जागा करून मोटा भाव दान्वित्य लिंदे नादिरवादा माधारा जात नाहाँ. हिंदुराज्यावरी निघेल... स्वांचे भगई जगरिम माधारा जात नाहाँ. हिंदुराज्यावरी निघेल... स्वांचे भगई जगरिम माधारा काद नाहाँ हिंदुराज्यावरी मार्गप्रतीका करतात. मामार्च पुष्टिक होतांच जाट कार्र फीब दिखासी पाठ्यन समाइजी आपण विभाग माधार " (घोडो गोविंद s letters to Bajirao.)

But as दश्द was still holding out Bajirao could not go in time. He was chafing under his inabilities. He writes कि बिहुलोकील संख्य भीर प्राप्त सास्त्र आहे. अपाप वसद आही जाही....

s. Shankraji Mohite, Ranoji Sinde Khandoji Nalkar, Ramaji Kharade, Krishnuji Mod and other powerful sardars have preserved the kingdom, exterminated the Mohamadam and protected the Hindureligion in Koukan.

¹ Tahmasphalithan (Nadeshah) is not a devine being so as to be able to destroy the whole crusion. He is bound to come to terms with those that prove airon. Therefore Your Excellency (Bajurao) should some with a strong force. Peace can come only after a new. We can expens a desister retail if your Excellency and the rather Rajout charfs making non. We must join together all the Hindon including Bundele and such others and we must present a more than brillians front. Nadeshah does not intend to go back. He will directly much on the Frodin Emgdon...... Savai Jayring wants that Emmit (of Udepur) thould be installed on the imperial throne. The Hindu Kings including Savai are looking for the arrival of Your Excellency. In fact as soon a Your Excellency can give a strong backing Savaiji will send forces against Delhi and will also himself march. (Dhoudo Govind's letters to Bajirao).

^{2.} The Hindus are placed in a critical situation. We have not yet taptured Bassein..... Under the circumstances all the Maratha armies should combine and cross river Chameli the The plan is that the (Nadir)

ऐशास तमाम मराठी फीना एक होऊन चमेलीपार व्हावें. त्यास (नादिरा अलीकडे येऊं देऊं नये असा विचार आहे. (बाजीराव to ब्रह्मेंद्रस्वामी

But his indomitable spirit rose triumphant over all obcles. He writes again :—" आपली घरगुती भोडणें (रघुजीचे पाः
पत्य वगैरे) बाजूला टेवली पाहिकेत. आतां सर्व हिन्दुस्थानास प्र
शानु उत्पन्न झाला आहे. भी तर नर्भदा उतहन सर्व मराठी कैन्य वेष पर्यंत पसहन देणार. मग पाहुं या नादिरशहा कसा खाली येतो तो
(बाजीराव's letters.)

Contd. Footnote page 55

should not be allowed to proceed further. (Bajirao to Brahmendra Swami).

गंस्थान इंदोर अमरगडमु महाराजाधिराण श्री सवाई जयसिंगणी कृत बंजणो.....सो आपको लिखते हैं कि बादशहाने चढाई की है, निता नहीं. श्री परमास्मा पार लगावेगा. बाजीराव पंश्ववेसे हमने आ-गमवत कोलवचन कर लिया है." Again he writes:—"हजार शा-आप सब मालवे सरदार एक रहके हिंदुधमंका कल्याण होना और माल-हिंदुधमंको वृद्धों होना. इस बात विचार कर मालवेमेसे सुसलमानोंको नौमेद और हिंदुधमं कायम रखा." (Jayasing's letters 26-10-1721 A. D.) ana Saheb the son of Bajirao was in fact the greatest ber of men that the Great Movement of Hindu liberation हिंदुपद्पादशाही brought to the front. His correspondence tudy by itself. Wherever we find him we find him champion of हिंदुख. To Tarabai he writes:—"मोगल केवळ राज्याचे शत्रु. स्थांस देखांल अनुसंधाने होत असतां सेवकच बांकडे वर्त-हा दोख।" (Nanasaheb's letters).

hough much was lost on the field of Panipat yet all was lost. For, two men survived the battle and saved the Nana Farnavis and Mahadaji Shinde—the brain, the ord, the shield of the Hindu Power—thought and worked lought for 50 years or so—in spite of the disastrous defeat Panipat or rather in virtue of it—for, that defeat was the nest blow that the Victors had ever received—and suc-

^{1.} We must lay aside our internal differences (such as punishment of Raghoji and others). The whole of Hindustan has now one common enemy to encounter. As for myself I have decided to cross the Narbada and spread the Maratha armies as far as Chambal; and we shall see how Nadirshah proceeds southwards (Bajirao's letter).

Nandialji Pradhan and Bhaiji Thakur Sanathan Indore from Maharajadhiraj Jahang, camp Amargad. You are informed that the the Emperor has started operations. But you need not be anxious. God Almighty will bring the matters to a successful issue. We have acused from Bajirao Peshve solemn promises concerning you.

Oh splendid! Really creditable. It is meet and proper that and the other chiefs of Malva should unite and bring about the perity and growth of the Hindu religion. It was with this object the Musalmans were discouraged from Malva and the Findu was preserved in tact.

The Mozul (Nizam) is an inveterate enemy of the Hindu power, yet, while you are yourself carrying on negotiations with them you (ma)your humble servant of crooked ways (Nana Saheb's letters')

than How conscious the national mind had grown of the triumphant turn events had taken, and how intensely probable they been of fare and the Hindu Empire all but explicated, can best be seen in the letters of the most taken deplomatic writers of that period. Govindrao Kale wroten Nana Farnavis from the capital of the Nizams on learning the news that gladdened the Marathas from end to end of Malarashtra that the misunderstanding growing between the termen Nana and Mahadaji had disappeared:—

भा पत्र पाहतांच रामांच उमे राहिले. अति संतोष झाला. विस्तार पा किती लिहे! प्रंथचे प्रंथच गनांत आले अटक नदीचे अलिकडे द्रारंग समुद्रापाचेता हिन्दुचे स्थान न्तुरकस्थान नव्हे हे आपली सिमा पांडवापासून विक्रमाजितपाचेतो. त्यांना राजून उपमाम पत्रता स मार्ग राज्यपति चादान निवाल यवनांचे प्रावत्य झाले. चक्रयांना (बायन बंशणांची) हस्तव परंच राज्य धेनलें. शेवटी अल्मांगराचे कारकादीत गांव पवातास साडतांच एपन चेन्नया बसन ओले अन्न विकत च्याचे अशी नीवत गुजरली दिवसांत कैलासवासी शिवाणी महाराण सकरते व धर्मरासते त्यांनी किंवित् कोन्यांत धर्मरक्षण केलें. पुढे कैलास नानासाहेब व भाऊसाहेब प्रचंड प्रतापसूर्य असे असे कधीं झाले नाहीं. हलीं आंमताचे पुण्यप्रताप कहन व गार्टाल बुनांच्या बुद्धि व तरवारीच्या पराक्रवेकहन सर्व घरास आले. कसें? प्राप्त झालें तेणकहन सुलभता चाटली. अगर मुखल-जा असते तरी मोठे मोठे तबारिस्तामे झाले असते. यवनांच्या जा-गार्टी गोष्ट चांगली झाल्यास गगनाबरोबर कहन बोभवायी. आमचे हिं-गाहतकी झाली असती उच्चार न कराया हे चाल आहे. अलभ्य

न ज्यांनी ज्यांनी दिद्स्थानांत शिरे उचलकी त्यांची पाटांल वाचीनी न लाभस्या त्या गोटी लाभस्या. त्यांचा बंदोबस्त शककत्यांत्रमाणे उपनोग ध्यावे पुढेंच आहे. कोठे पुण्याद्त उमें पढेल आणि नाय दृष्ट

Contd, Footnote page 58

the protector of the religion. However his mission was conto a limited area. Then come Navasaheb and Bhausaheb of resto a limited area. Then come Navasaheb and Bhausaheb of resto-more. Here's of such pre-minimal property that the like of them
then born. And now everything has been re-tored to us under
tenigh and illustrious auspices of Shimmant (Peshve) using
to actuteness and valour of Patil Boa. But how was all
willeved. Because on had non me thought it had been an eary
If it had been the case of Mohamadans volumes of histories
have been written about it. Amongst the Mohamadans eve in
mallest matter is extolled by them to the akies. While amongst
hadus we are inclined not even to refer to our exploits however
sincient they may be. Indeed results difficult to achieve have been
wed. The Mohamadans think and say that the accurated Hindus
entablished their supremacy!

I felt mightily happy. I cannot expect all that fully in a letter. Literally my mind was flooded with thoughts. All the territory from the river stack to the Indian Ocean is the land of the Hindus—and not of the Turks. These have been our frontiers from the times of Panelous does to those of Vibromaditys. They preserved it and enjoyed. After them the rulers turned out to be quite effets and the Yavanan (Mohamadana) rose in power. The Moguls seized the Kingdom of Hastimapur And eventually during the regime of Alamgir we were reduced to successful, that wearer of every Yadnyopavita (the sacred thread) was required to pay a jijeya tax of Rs. 3-8 and to buy cooked food.

टागेल नकते. झाल्या गोष्टी यांत केवळ मुलुख, राज्य प्राप्त नाहीं तरी वेदशास्त्ररक्षण, गोब्राम्हणप्रतिपालन, सार्वमौमान लागण, कीर्तीयश यांचे नगारे वाजण इतक्या गोष्टी भा किमया संभावणे हक भापला व पाटील बाबांचा. त्यांत वेत्यास पा दोस्त दुष्मन् मज्जूत. संशय दूर क्षाला. अति चांगले. अति चांगले उद्यापायण्याची लागून आहेत. वैन नव्हतें. आपण लिहिल्यावरून मा झालें. (इ. स. १७९३)

This one single letter penned with such ease and gives a truer expression to the spirit of our History many a dull volumes had done. How spontaneously on the right derivation of the epithets fee and feet how completely our anscessors down to the last general loved and reverenced and identified themselves with epithets is so eloquently illustrated in this letter as to mit superfluous to cite any more.

Concl'd Footnote page 58

And really Patil Boa has broken the heads of those who is raise them. In fact the unachievable has been achieved. To blish order and reap its benefit like the great kings is still ahead afraid where our merits will fail and the work will be spould achievements are not limited to the acquisition of territory and recof our Kingdom but include the preservation of Vedas and Shaira habilitation of religion, protection of cours and Brakmins, establish Surgrainty and the diffusion of our fame and victory. To keep all in tact depends on you and Patil Boa. If there is difference any you the enemy is bound to grow strong. Now my misgivings rest. It was really splendid! Very excellent! The enemi beating us on all sides. I was very uneasy. Your letter has relief to me (1793 A.D.)

V

thus tried to trace the successive chapters of the of the words हिंदु and हिंदुस्थान from the earliest Vedic to the fall of the last of our Hindu empire in 1818 A.D. now in a position to address ourselves to the main determining the essentials of fig. The first result enquiry is to explode the baseless suspicion which has moto the minus of some of our well-meaning but hasty men that, the origin of the words fee and feeting is traced to the malice of the Mohamedans! After all been said in the previous section about the history words, this suspicion seems so singularly stupid that attorn it is to refute it. Long before Mohmad was born, before the Arabians were heard of as a people, this nation was known to ourselves as well as to the world by the proud epithet Any or fee and Arawould not have invented this term any more than they bave invented the Indus itself. They simply learnt it h ancient Iranians, Jews, and other peoples. But from all serious historical refutation, is it not clear that the been really contempuous expression of our foes as it to be, could it have ever recommended itself to the and best of our race? Surely our people were not such stangers either to the Arabic or Persian tongues ! Wohamedans were apt to refer to us as TIGHT also, but people adopted that name and stuck it up as a dishing mark? Why did they submit voluntarily to the

no mention of दिशन-बनारस-मराटा-शिख-गुजराय-पाटणाto be traced to some foreign source? The बनारस though not found in संस्कृत is still ours be is the प्राकृत form of बाराजवी which is found in संव fact it is ridiculous to expect a प्राकृत word in classical Nay more: although हिंद being a प्रकृत form of a word should not be expected to be found in नारकत it is, it cannot be but a weighty proof of its importanin its Area form that that form should be, at time with in संस्कृत literature : for example the मेहतंत्र uses un हिंद Great Sanskrit lexicographers like आपटे in rashtra and तारानाम तक्नाचस्पति in Bengal have also men it. While the line विविधित न हिंदुने ययनः is too known to be quoted.

It may be that in the modern Mohamedanis d some contemptuous meaning has come to be associated the term fee but how does that show that the original nification of feg was contemptuous and meant "blan The words feel or fee are used in Persian but they mean black and yet we know that they along with originated from the same sanskrit word by or fine

national insult only in the case of the other epithets to word fee is applied to us because it means 'black' then हिंद? Simply because, they knew more of our nation at that हिंद and हिंदी are also applied to us though they do not ditions and were less cut-off from our national life the and "a black man"? The fact is that the word fee of us had been. That is why some of us keep could lits origin not from the Mohamedanised Persian but harping on the fact that this word for is not found the ancient language of Iran, the Zend, and then the krit. What of this word alone?—The sanskrit literature of the meant उप्राधिय alone. It could not have been applied because we were black literally, for the simple reason and thousand other words that we use daily. But we the ancient ERRES i.e. Fees in Avestic periodas fair as the Iranians and lived practically side by side el even at times together with them. Even so late as at dawn of the Christian era the Parthians used to call our Inter provinces as wanted or white Inida. Thus originalsimply could not have literally meant a black man. In fact, after it has been made so amply clear in the forewas sections that the crithets fig and figure had been proud and patriotic designations signifying our land and mation long before the Mohamedans or Mohamedanised Francis were heard of, it becomes almost immaterial, so far the greatness of the epithet Hundu and its claim to our we are concerned, what meaning, complimentary or concompluous, is attached to it by some swollen-headed fanatic bre and there. There was a time when the term "England" and fallen so low in England itself in the estimation of her forman conquerers that it became a formula of swearing winst each other! "May I become an Englishman!" was strongest form of self denunciation and calling a Nor-"an Englishman" an unpardonable insult. But did the English care to change the name of their land or their nation and call it Normandy instead of England? Or wo their disowning their name as "the English" have made a great? No; on the contrary, precisely because they did disown their ancient blood or name, to-day we find while the word Norman has become an historical fossil. Normandy has no place on the map of the world the contempous English and their English language have come to the largest empire the world has as yet seen! And yet great as the glories of the English world are, what on the who has it to show to match the glories of the Hindu world?

In times of conflict nations do loose their balance of misand if the Persians or others once understood by the word in a thief or a black man alone, then let them remember that the word Mohamedan too was not always mentioned to derive any very enviable type of man-kind by the Hindus either 1 call a man Musalman or better still a 'Musunda' was wors than calling him a brute. Such bitter fulminations and mutual recriminations, though they might have the each of inevitability in times of life-and-death struggles who the fume and flame of the angry brutal passions last, showl be forgotten as soon as men recover from their fils and class to be recognised as gentlemen. Nor should we forget that the ancient Jews used the term [53 to denote strength in vigour for, these were the qualities associated with our lasand nation. In an Arab epic named "So hab Mo Alah it is said that the oppressions of kith and kin are bitterer w more fatal than the stroke of a Hindu sword; while " Return ing a Hindu answer" is a proverbial way with the Persian

deeply with an Indian sword'. The ancient Babylonians been in the habit of denoting the finest quality of cloth org' because it generally came from the UNIMYS—a cuswhich also shows that they also knew our country by maient name My; nor have we as yet heard of any other using being attributed to this word in the ancient Babylonian language than its national one.

No Hindu can help feeling proud of himself at the curious repretation put upon this epithet by the illustrious travel-Vuan Chwang, himself belonging to our highly civiland ancient reighbours the Chinese, when he identifies eational name "fee" with the sanskrit "ee" and says in theation that the world had rightly called this nation for they and their civilization had, like the moon been a constant source of delight and refreshment to the mid and weary soul of man. Does not all this clearly athat the way of inspring respect for our name in the als of men is not either to change or deny it but to compel enition of and homage to, it by the valour of our arms, nty of our aims and the sublimity of our souls. Even if allow some of our brethren to ride their hobby horse in all and get themselves recognised and registered in the reports as "Aryans" instead of as Hindus yet they ald only succeed in dragging down the word Aryan to r own level and adding one more synonym to vocabulary of the words for a 'helot' and a oly '-as long as our nation does not attain to

the heights of greatness and of strength as in days of yore.

But apart from any serious arguments against the about proposal of denying the epithets Hindu or Hinduism granting for a while the stupid theory that their origin is be traced to the malice of foreigners,-we simply ask, possible to deny them and coin a new word for our national design nation? As it stands at present the word Hindu has our to be the very banner of our race and the one great feature that above all others contributes to strengthen and uple our racial unity from Cape to Kashmere, from Attak to Kin tak. Do you think you can change it as easily as a can Once it happened that a gentleman, well-meaning and pate otic, intended to get himself registered in the Census recomas an Arvan instead of a Hindu, as he had been a victim to wide-spread lie that we were first called Hindus by the P sian Mohamedans out of their contempt-that the world meant a thief or a black man. Yet I could not enter in any detailed discussion about the origin of the word for wall of time and so simply questioned him as to what his one name was. He told it was " तकसिंग." "My good friend," I क tinued, "unlike the word Hindu whose origin is at the wordisputable, your name is indisputably a hybrid word should therefore be first replaced in the register by seeancient and purely Aryan word—say मोद्रलायन or सिंहासन्थि। Having evaded the point for a while he tried to pour out how difficult it was to do so and how it would complete upset his economical position and after all how could be put

world to call him by the new fangled name or what could gained at all by this risky experiment of calling himself. ीहासनासंह" while all others persisted in calling him "तकासन". not" I rejoined, " if to change your individual name, which is b putably foreign, seems to you so difficult, may harmful, then friend, how much more difficult would it be to change the me of a whole race which is so far from being a foreign inmtion that it is ours as much as Vedas are ours !- and how h more futile? Of the futility of any such attempt to inge a deep-rooted name, a far more convincing example in this personal one is furnished by our Sikh brotherhood the Punjab. The band of the best and the bravest of the andu race whom our Great Guru had chosen, triumphantly laiming "नीलबलके कपडे फांड तुरक पाणी अंसल गया |", for the pressed purpose of धर्म चलावन संत उचारण, दुष्ट देखके मूल उपाटण. काज घरा में जनमम्। समझ छेहु साधुसम मननम्। (परित्राणाय सा-वो विनाशायच दुष्कृताम् । धर्मसंस्थापनार्थाय संभवामि युगे युगे)—thet and of warriors was named "Khalasa"! The saintly क who bewailed " क्षत्रियांहि धर्म छोडिया म्लेच्छ माया गहि। सब इकवर्ण हुई धर्मकी गति रही! " is daily greeted with a बाह गुरुनीकी फते । वाह गुरुनीका खालना." The words दरवार दिवाय have crept like thieves to the very heart of our Hariandirs. They are the scars of our old wounds. The wounds bealed but the scars porsist and seem to be incorporated th our form. As long as any attempt to scratch them out. meatens to harm us more than profit, so long all that we in do is to tolerate them; for after all they are the are of wounds received in a conflict that we have

won in a gory field in which we remained as the victors of the day.

And yet, if any words, however closely they might have been associated with things sacred, are to be disowned unchanged they are these; for they all are indisputably fories and reminiscent of alien domination. Does it not we almost insincere that we who can not only tolerate but low the names should clamour to disown the epithet fee हिन्द्यान which is the very cradle name of our race and al our land chosen by our patriarchs, recorded in the moancient and revered annals of the world-the Vedas?- An epithet which had proudly been borne by millions of some country-men on both sides of the fay for the last form centuries if not more; which expanded to and embraced the whole of our country from Kashmere to the Cap and from were to which sums up in a word the whole go graphical position of our race and our land fry or fee; where had been recognized as the sign of distinction to mark ou the " राष्ट्रमायंस्य चारामम्." An epithet for which our foes hate us and for which our warriors from शासिबाहान to शिवाबी won forth in their thousands to keep up their fight from centurto century. It was this word fig that was found impresed on the ashes of vitial and ladit. It was this word fee the was owned by तुलसीदास, तुकाराम, रामकृष्ण and रामदास; हिंदपद्पा शही was the dream of रामदास, the mission of शिवाबी, the polar star of the ambitions of Bajirao and Banda Bahadur, of Chlotraval and Nanasaheb, of Pratap and Pratapaditya. It was inscribed on the banner defending which a hundred thousand

limdu heroes fell inflicting fatal wounds on the foes, on the the field of Panipat-and Bhan at the head of them all and sword in hand !- within one single day! It was for the (Vequenier that inspite of all that martyrdom and in virtue Nana and Mahadji steered the nation clear of all rocks shouls and brought it almost within sight of the coveted ores. It is this epithet fig or figural that, even to has day, owns a loving allegiance of millions of our peoplefrom the throne of Nepal to the begging bowl in the street. To disown these words is like to cut off and cast away the very heart of our people. You would be dead before you do that. It is not only fatal but futile. To outset the words Hindu or Hindusthan from the position they hold is to try to outset the Himalayas from theirs !- Nothing but an earthquake with all its terrible wrenches and appalling uncertaintos can accomplish that !

The objection that is levelled against the oppellation had figured on account of the mistaken notion which attributed their origin to foreign sources could, if left to itself, be usily laid low by advancing undesirable historical facts but as it is, this objection is in some cases backed up by a secret fear that if the epithet be honoured and owned then all those who do so would be looked upon as believers in the logman and religious practices that go by the name 'Hinduism'. This lear, though it is not often admitted opening, that a Hindu is, necessarily and by the very fact that he is a Hindu, a believer in the so called Hinduism, makes many a man determined not to get convinced that the opithets are

not an alien invention. Nor is this fear totally unjustified But it would be more candid if those who entertain this is a should openly advance it as the ground of their objection in being recognised as Hindus and not try to hide it under a la and untenable issue. The superficial similarity between untwo terms हिंदुत्व and Hinduism is responsible for this regul table estrangement that, at times, alienates well-meaning gentlemen in our Hindu brotherhood. The distinction between these two tems would be presently made clear. Henit is enough to point out that if there be really any word of alien growth it is this word Hinduism and so we should not allow our thoughts to get confused by this new-fangled term That a man can be as truely Hindu as any without believen even in the Vedas as an independent religious authority quite clear from the fact that thousands of our Jam brethren not to mention others, are for generations calling themselves Hindus and would, even to this day, feel hurt if they be called otherwise. We refer to this simply as an actual fact apart from any detailed justification and examination of it which would presently follow. Till then, we hope, our reader would not allow prejudicial fear regarding the conclusion of our argument as to its intrinsic merit and bear in mind we have throughout the foregoing sections been dealing-not with any "ism" whatever but-with 1837 alone in its national and racial and cultural aspects.

Now we are fairly in a position to try to analyse the contents of one of the most comprehensive and bewilderingly synthetic concept known to human tongue. First is a newalive word from fig. We have seen that the earliest the most sacred records of our race show that the appel-जन सप्तिमु or इप्तारेंद्र was applied to a region in which the value nation flourished. This geographical sense being the wary one has, now contrasting now expanding, but ever rustently, been associated with the words हिंदु and हिंदुस्थान after the lapse of nearly 5000 years if not more, द्विदृह्यान come to mean the whole continental country from the to fay, from the Indus to the Seas. The most im-Hant factor that contributes to the cohesion, strength the sense of unity of a people is that they should possess internally well connected and externally well demarcated local habitation," and a "name" that could, by its very mton, rouse up the cherished image of their motherland well as the loved memories of their past. We are happily weed with both these important requisites for a strong and sted nation. Our land is so vast and yet so well-knit, so will demarcated from others and yet so strongly entrenched, at no country in the world is more closely marked out by fingers of nature as a geographical unit beyond cavil or nticism. So also is the name हिंदुस्थान or हिंदु that it has me to bear. The first image that it rouses in the mind is mistakably of our motherland and by an express appeal to spographical and physical features it verifies it into a wing Being. हिंदस्थान meaning the land of हिंदड, the first mential of figar must necessarily be this geographical onc. I Hindu is primarily a citizen either in himself or through In forefathers of "fegeria" and claims the land as his motherland. In America as well as France the word Hinde rally understood thus exactly in the sense of an Indian out any religious or cultural implication. And word fig been left to convey this primary significance which it had in common with all the words derived to fig then it would really have meant only an Irola citizen of figgram, as the word figi does.

But through out our inquiry we have been concerning selves more with what is than with what would have bewhat should be. Not that to paint what should be as a legitimate pursuit; nay, it is as necessary and at time stimulating; but even that could be better done by getting a firm hold of what actually is. We must try the fore to be on our guard so that in our attempt to deterthe essentials of दिद्व we be guided entirely by the arms contents of the word as it stands at present. So, alththe root-meaning of the word Hindu, like the sister of Hindi, may mean only an Indian, yet as it is we wonld straining the usage of words too much-we fear, in point of breaking-if we call a Mahomedan a Hundu became his a being resident of India. It may be that at some fulltime the word Hindu may come to indicate a citizen of Hindu sthan and nothing else; that day can only rise when cultural and religious bigotry has dishanded its forces pledgeous aggressive egoism, and religious crosse to be "isms" and be come merely the common fund of eternal principles that be at the root of all, that are a common foundation on which the Human State majerically and firmly rests. But as even the

treaks of this consumation, so devoutly to be wished careely discernible on the horizen, it would be folly to ignore stern realities. As long as every other " has not disowned its special dogmas, which ever into dangerous war cries, so long no cultural or national our afford to loosen the bonds, especially those of a on name and a common banner, that are the mighty of organic cohesion and strength. An American may bea citizen of India. He would certainly be entitled, if de, to be treated as our भारतीय or हिंदी, a countryand a fellow citizen of ours. But as long as, in addition country, he has not adopted our culture and our hisinherited our blood and has come to look upon our land only as the land of his love but even of his worship, he and get himself incorporated into the Hindu fold. For and the first requisite of ferra is that he be a citizen of a solusthan either by himself or through his forefathers, yet it or the only requisite qualification of it, as the term Hindu come to mean much more than its geographical mificance.

The reason that explains why the term fig cannot be convenies with anxiety or fight and mean an Indian only, wordly introduces us to the second essential implication of at term. The Hindus are not merely the citizens of the dian state because, they are united not only by the bonds the love they bear to a common motherland but also by bonds of a common blood. They are not only a tight also a affect. The word affer, derived from the root

at to produce, means a brotherhood, a race determined a common origin-possessing a common blood. All Huclaim to have in their veins the blood of the mighty race in the porated with and descended from The Vedic fathers, the land We are well aware of the not unoften interested objection than carpingly questions "but are you really a race? Can be said to possess a common blood?" We can only answer by questioning in return, " are the English a race? Is there any thing as English blood, the French blood, the German blood or the Chinese blood in this world? Do they, was have been freely infusing foreign blood into their race by contracting marriages with other races and peoples, posses common blood and claim to be a race by themselves? they do, then the Hindus also can emphatically do so. For the very castes, which you, owing to your colossal failure to under stand and view them in the right perspective, assert to have barred the common flow of blood into our race, have done more truly and more effectively as regards the foreign blood than our own. Nay, is not the very presence of these present castes a standing testimony to a common flow of blood from a ब्राह्मण to a चांडाल ? Even a cursory glance at any of our हम्तिङ would conclusively prove that the अनुहोस and प्रतिखाम marriage institutions were the order of the day and have given birth to the majority of the castes that obtain amongst us. If a झित्रय has a son from a जुद्र woman he gives birth to the उप्र caste : again, if the झात्रेय raises an issue on an उम्र he founds a श्रुच class. While a त्राह्मण mother and a शुद्र father beget the caste चांडाछ. From the vedic

whose that the ancient Ganges of our blood has come from the altitudes of the subline vedic heights to the of our modern history fertilizing much, incorporating a noble stream and purifying many a lost soul, ining in volume and depth and richness, delying the danteing lost in bogs and sands and flows to-day refreshed to invigorated more than ever. All that caste system done is to regulate its noble flood on lines believed—and whole rightly believed—by our saintly and patriotic ters and kings to contribute most to fertilise and enall that was barren and poor, without famishing and deall that was flourishing and nobly endowed.

utcome of the intermarriages between the chief four or between the chief four castes and the cross-born, but in the case of those tribes or races who some-where in the of the hoary past were leading a separate and self-wel life. Witness the customs prevailent in Malabar or where a Hindu of the highest caste is allowed to marry man of those who are supposed to be the originally alien but who, even if the suggestion be true, have by their and loving defence of the Hindu culture have been instated with and bound to us by the dearest of the—the of a common blood. Is the नागज़ a Dravidian family? Then who is who now when the youths of अभिनंदा have to them the daughters of the नागड़ and the ज़ज़्ज़ and the ज़ज़्ज़ have bestowed their damsels on the youths of

both the families? Down to the day of ga-not to me the partial break-down of the caste system itself centuries of Buddhistics way-intermarriages were the or the day. Take for example the case of a single family Pandawas. The sage पराश्चर was a ब्राह्मण, he fell in low the fair maid of a fisherman who gave birth to the renouned ब्यास, who in his turn raised two some श्वतिय princesses अंबा and अंबासिका; one of these two sum allowed his wives to raise issue by resorting to the system and they, having solicited the love of men of colocastes, gave birth to the heroes of our great epic. We mentioning equally distinguished characters of the period कर्ण, बसुवाहन, घटेत्कच, बिदुर and others, we to point out to the relatively modern cases of with to have married a sign girl who gave birth father of stalls; stalls who had as a prince married . maid; हुएँ who being a बैंड्य gave his daughter in many to a स्त्रिय prince; ब्यायस्ता who is said to be a son of a with whom his mother, a sign girl, had fallen in love who grew to be the "यज्ञाचार्य" of विकमादित्य; मुस्दास: who being a sign fell so desperately in love with a view as to lead an open married life with her and subsequently h the founder of the religious sect "मातंनी पंय"; who, never के call themselves and are perfectly entitled to be recognis-Hindus. This is not all. An individual at times by he her iron actions may loose his or her first caste and be gated to another. - शूहो बाह्यणतांमति बाह्मणश्रीत शृद्धताम् । injunction "न कुलं कुलमित्याह्राचारं कुलमुच्यते । आचारकश्लोगान

हते ॥ उपासने थेन पूर्वा दिवा संध्यां न पश्चिमां । सर्वोस्तान् घा.

जा शहरूमंणि योजवेत् ॥"—was not always an empty threat.

अतिय have by taking to agriculture and other thors of life lost the respect due to a स्तिय and were with some of the other castes; while many a brave to cases whole tribes, raised themselves to the position, that and titles of the स्तियं and were recognised as such outcasted from a caste, which is an event of daily more, is only getting incorporated with some other.

t only is this true so far as those Hindus only who be in the caste system based on the Vedic tenents are conducted but even in the case of अवैदिक sects of the Hundu. As it was true in the Buddhistic period that a Budhistic, a वैदिक mother, a जैन son, could be found in a joint family, so even to-day, जैनड and वैष्णनं interior in Gujrath. छोन्ड and गनावनींड in Punjab and Sindover to-day's मानभाव or लियायत or शास or मन्त्रामी is aday's दिंद, and to-day's दिंद may be to-morrows.

and no word can give a full expression to this racial unity or people as the epithet Hindu does. Some of us were all day and some अन्येन्ड; but आयरs and नायरs—we were all day and own a common blood. Some of us are बाह्यणंड़ one नामगढ़ or पंचमंड; but बाह्यणंड or बांदालंड—we are all day and own a common blood. Some of us are दाशियास्वरLoome नीवड़; but गाँवड or नास्वतंड—we are all Hindus and a common blood. Some of us were राम्लंड and some or but राम्लंड or नाम्लंड we are all Hindus and own a common blood.

blood Some of us were बानरा and some किन्नरंड, but बानर or नर्ड—we are all Hindus and own a common blood. Some us are बेनड and some जीमड; but बेनड or जीमड—we all Hindus and own a common blood. Some of us monists some pantheists; some thosets and some athlests monothiests or athlests—we are all Hindus and own a comblood. We are not only a राष्ट्र but a जाति, a born broughood. We are not only a राष्ट्र but a जाति, a born broughood. Nothing else counts, it is after all a question of heat we feel that the same ancient blood that coursed through the veins of राम and कृष्ण, बुद्ध and महादीर. जाता and चेतन्य, बसन् and माधन, of राष्ट्रराम and तिर्वेद courses through out the Hindudom from vein to vein, pulse from heart to heart. We feel we are a जाति—a race bortogether by the dearest ties of blood—and therefore it makes so.

After all there is throughout this world so far as man concerned but a single race—the Human race; kept alive is one common blood the Human blood. All other talk is best provisional, a make shift, and only relatively true. Nature is constantly trying to overthrow the artificial barriers ye raise between race and race. To try to prevent the commingue of blood is to build on sands. Sexual attraction has proved more powerful than all the commands of all the prophets put too ther. Even as it is, not even the aborigines of the Andamar are without some sprinkling of the so called are a blood in their veins and vice versa. Truely speaking all that any or of us can claim, all that history entitles one to claim, is that one has the blood of all mankind in one's veins. The impos-

and unity of man from pole to pole is true—all else only atively so.

and speaking relatively alone, no people in the world can be justly claim to get recognised as a racial unit than the locus and perhaps the Jews. A Hindu marrying a Hindu wolcose his caste but not his figga. A Hindu, believing any theoretical or philosophical or social system, tholox or hetrodox, provided it is unquesticably introdus and founded by a Hindu, may loose his sect but not figga—his Hinduness—because the most important estial which determines it, is the inheritance of the Hindu bod. Therefore all those who love the land that stretches an first to first, from Indus to Seas, as their father-land and asequently claim to inherit the blood of the race that has rolved, by incorporation and adaptation, from the ancient unitys, can be said to possess two of the most essential quisites of figga.

But only two: because a moment's consideration would use that these two qualifications of use try and use suid—of a momen fatherland and therefore of a common blood—cannot chanst all the requisites of ligger. The majority of the fallon Mohomedans may, if free from the prejudices born of morance, come to love our land as their fatherland, as the strictic and noble-minded amongst them have always been long. The story of their conversions, forcible in millions of lose, is too recent to make them longer, even if they like to lose, that they inherit Hindu blood in their voice. But can see, who here are concerned with investigating into facts as

they are and not as they should be, recognize these Moham dans as Hindus? Many a Mohamedan community in Kashi mere and other parts of India as well as the Christians South India observe our caste rules to such an extent as Inmarry generally within the pale of their castes alone, yet, a is clear that though their original Hindu blood is thus almounaffected by an alico adulteration, yet they cannot be called Hindus in the sense in which that term is actually understood Because, we Hindus are bound together not only by the reof the love we bear to a common fatherland and by the common blood that courses through our veins and keeps ma hearts throbbing and our affections warm, but also by the tie of a common homage we pay to our great civilization -our Hinda culture, which could not be better rendered than by the word संस्कृति suggestive as it is of that language, the संस्कृत which has been the chosen means of expression and preservetion of that culture, of all that was best and worth preserving in the history of our race. We are one because we are a Trua जाति and own a common संस्कृति.

But what is civilization? Civilization is the expression of the mind of man. Civilization is the account of what man had made of matter. If matter is the creation of the Lord the civilization is the miniature secondary creation of man. At its best it is the perfect triumph of the soul of man over matter and man alike. Wherever and to the extent to which man has succeeded in moulding matter to the delight of his soul, civilization begins. And it triumphs when he had tapped all the sources of Supreme Delight, satisfying the

pentual aspirations of his Being towards strength and beauty

The story of the civilization of a nation is the story of its loughts, its Action and its Achievements. Literature and art it us of its thoughts; history and social institutions of its action and achievements. In none of these can man remain plated. The premitive " ¿nt" (caneo) of the Andamanese can may claim to have influenced the up-to-date dread-naughts of the latest adventure of fashion amongst the fair in Paris is but the lineal descendant of the bunch of aves stuck in the girdle-string which constitutes the perfection of the toilet of a " पानुष्ठा" girl.

And yet a 'Dungi' remains a Dungi and a dreadnaught a creadnaught; they are too much more unlike each other than like to be identified as one and the same. Even so, although the Hindus have lent much and borrowed much like any other people yet, their civilization is too characteristic to be notaken for any other cultural unit. And secondly, however mixing their mutual differences be, they are too much more each other than unlike, to be denied the right of being cognized as a cultural unit amongst other such units in the wild owning a common history, a common literature and a mimon civilization.

Paradoxical as it may sound to those who have fallen actims to the interested or ignorant cry that has secured the ar of the present world that the Hindus have no history never the less remains true that Hindus are about the ply people who have succeeded in preserving their history—

riding through earthquakes, bridging over deluges! It is gins with their Vedas which are the first extant chapter the story of our race. The first cradle songs that run Hindu girl listens to, sings of Sita, the good. Some of worship Rama as an incarnation, some admire him as a land and a warrior, all love him as the most illustririous representations ative monarch of our race. Maruti and Bheemsen and never failing source of strength and physical perfection the Hindu youth; Savitri and Damyanti, the never facideals of constancy and chastity to the Hindu maid. Its love that THI made to the Divine Cowherd in Gokul Line rts echo wherever a Hindu lover kisses his beloved. giant struggle of the Kurus, the set duels of Arjun and Karaof Bhim and Dusshasan, that took place on the field of Konkshetra thousands of years ago, are rehearsed in all their do from cottage to cottage and from palace to palace. Abhim could not have been dearer to eight than he is to us. From Ceylon to Kashmere Hindusthan daily sheds tears as loving and as bitterly as his father did at the mention of the fall of that lotus-eyed youth. What more shall we say? The story of रामायण and महाभारत alone would bring us together and weld into a race even if we be scattered to all the four winlike a handful of sand. I read the life of a Marxini and exclaim "how patriotic they are," I read the life of a Manne vacharya and exclaim "how patriotic are are!" The of पृथ्वीराज is bewailed in Bengal; the martyred some Govindsing, in Maharastra. An Arya Samajist listorian the extreme north feels that Harihar and Bukka of the

south fought for him; and a Sanatanist historian in attreme south feels that Guru Tejbahadur died for him. had kings in common, we had kingdoms in common. had stability in common, we had movements in mon. We had triumphs in common, and disasters in mon. The names of भोदाबसच्या and पिसाड, a जयबंद and मान्हाड, make us all feel as sinners do. The names of अज्ञाड, मानायं, पाणिना and कपिड leave us all electrified with a of personal elevation.

answer, what about the internecine wars amongst Hindus?
answer, what about the wars of Roses amongst the
fish? What of internecine struggle of states against states,
against sects, class against class, each invoking foreign
against his own country-men, in Italy, in Germany, in
once, in America? Are they still a people, a nation, and
they possess a common history? If they do the Hindus
If the Hindus do not possess a common history then
in the world does.

our History tells the story of the Action of our race so our literature taken in its fullest sense tell the story of Thought of our race. Thought, they say, is inseparable a word; and our literature inseparable from our common uc, the tiend. Verily it is our mother tongue.—the ue in which the mothers of our race spoke and which has an birth to all our present tongues. Our Gods spoke in that is best in us-the best thoughts, the best idea, the best seeks instinctively to clothe itself in field. To mil-

lions it is still the language of their Gods; to others it is language of their ancestors; to all it is the language prolence; a common inheritance, a common treasure, that riches all the family of our sister languages, Antique and new भिर्णाandहिंदी,तामिनandेनन्,महाराष्ट्रीaudमन्यातम्, बंगालीandिण constitutes the vital nerve thread that runs through in vivifying and toning our feelings and aspirations into a land monious whole. It is not a language alone; to many Him it is a मंद्र, to all it is a music. The Vedas do not con tute an authority for all sass. But the Vedas as the man ancient work and the history of their race belong to much as to any of us. आदिपुराण was not written by a सना yet the आहित्राण is the common inheritance of the सनाव and जैनड. The बसवपुराण is the bible of the हिंगायतुंड; but belongs to Lingayat and non-Lingayat Hindus alike, in of the foremost and historical kanaree work extant. [41444] of नहगाविद is as truly the property of a Hindu in Bengal the नैतन्यचरित्रामृत is of a Sikh काछिदास and भवभृति, बरक मधत, आर्थभर and बराइमिहिर, भास and अश्वषेष, जसदेव and जगणwrote for us all, appeal to us all, are the cherished possess of us all. Let the work of केंब the तामिल poet and say copy of Hafiz be kept before a Hindu in Bengal and if he asked, " what belongs to you of these ?" he would instinct say, 'कंब is minel' let a copy of the work of खाँद्रनाथ and me of Shakespeare be kept before a Hindu in Maharashtra would claim " खाँद। खाँद is mine."

The works of art and architecture are also a common heritance of our mor, whether they be representative of art.

ार्क school of thought. For all the labourers who hat them, the masters who guided them, the tax-payers manced them, and the kings who organised them, ther बेदिक belonged to the great race that inhabits owns this land from सिंधु to सिंधु—the Hindu race, who are सनावनींड to-day have contributed and laboured to the Buddhistic monuments of art and architecture while those who were Buddhistic then have contributed and laboured for the monuments of the सनावनी art architecture now.

Common institutions and a common law that sanctions and tifies them, however they may differ in details, are never so less both the cause and the effect of the basic unity of race. The Hindu law with the underlying principles of Judu Jurisprudence whatever the superficial differences be, howsoever contradictory a detail here or an injunction re may seem to be, is too organic a growth to loose its inandnabty by the manifold changes wrought by times and mes. In spite of the feverish speed with which the law whines in the different states of America and British Comsonwealth keep manufacturing and modelling laws, we acknowledge the principles of Jurisprudence and the of growth that underlie their code to constitute a single shole. The English law, or the Roman Jurisprudence, or Man American law could not be designated as such if eternal antity or a dead level similarity is expected. The Mohaand law retains its individuality in spite of such damaging receptions to it as the Khojas or the Bohras who, like some other Mohamedan communities, observe the Hindu law regulating some departments of their life, notably in matter of inheritance. Some of the Hindu customs in Mahamed or Punjab may differ from some in Bengal or Sind. In the similarity in all other details is so great that the law the Maharashtra as a whole seems to be an echo of the books ruling our brothers in Bengal or Sindh and rice. When all the rules, customs and laws observed by any good community are collected together it can immediately found to be nothing but a fitting chapter of the Hindu while no amount of ingenuity or torture can fit it in say, the English or Mohamadan or the Japanese law books.

We have feasts and festivals in common. We have rive and rituals in common. The दसरा and दिवाळा, the राखावान and the होती, are welcomed wherever a Hindu breathes. and बन ,त्राह्मणंड & पंचमंड alike, you would find the whole Himes. kingdom enfete on the Divali day. Not only Hindusthan, bear the Greater Hindusthan that is fast growing in all the consnents of the world. Not even a cottage in the acts forests could be found on that night that has not shown its litt light! While the treff day would reveal to you every Hinds soul from the delighted damsels of Punjab to the austern Brahmins of Madras tying the silken tie that, heart to hear and mind to mind, in body and in soul, can bind.' Yet we have deliberately refrained ourselves from referring to any religious beliefs that we as a race may hold in common. No had we referred to any institution or event or custom in its religious aspect or significance. Because we wanted to deal with

essentials of igga not in the light of any "ism" but from orial point of view; and yet even from a national and racial at of view do the different places of pilgrimage constitute, common inheritance of our Hindu race. The training the analytic at argue, the and the sugar these great gatherings had been the real and living consess of our people that kept the current of life and thought oursing through out our body politic. The quint customs I ceremonies and sacraments they involve, observed by the as a religious duty, by others as social amenities, impossing the common and corporate life of the tundu race.

These then in short—and the subject in hand does not crimit us to be exhaustive on this point—constitute the sence of our civilization and mark us out a cultural unit. Hindus are not only a viz, a wild, but and as a consenence of being both, own a common trend expressed and served chiefly and originally through vized, the real wother-tongue of our race. Everyone who is a Hindu inherits this grand and owes his spiritual being to it as truly as he his physical one to the land and the blood of his trefathers.

A Hindu then is he who feels attachment to the land that extends from fay to fay as the land of his fore-tuners—as his Fatherland; who inherits the blood of the matrace whose first and discernible source could be travel by the Hymalayan altitudes of the Vedic unitys and which,

assimiliating all that was incorporated and ennobling that was assimilated has grown into and come to be known as the Hindu people; and who, as a consequence of the going attributes, has inherited and claims as his own हिंदु मंस्कृति, the Hindu civilization, as represented in common history, common heroes, a common literature, common art, a common law and a common jurisprudo common fairs and festivals, rites and rituals, caremonies sacraments. Not that, every Hindu has all these details the Hindu संस्कृति down to each syllable common with other Hindus; but that, he has more of it common with his Him brothers than with, say, an Arab or an Englishman, Not that a non-Hindu does not hold any of these details in communication with a Hindu but that, he differs more from a Hindu that he agrees with That is why Christian and Mohamedan conmunities, who were but very recently Hindus and in majoring of cases had been at least in their first generation most willing denizens of their new fold, claim though they much common fatherland, and an almost pure Hindu blood and parentage with us, cannot be recognised as Hindus; as, sit their adoption of the new cult they had ceased to own How संस्कृति as a whole. They belong, or feel that they belong, to a see tural unit altogether different from the Hindu one. Their hemand their hero-worship, their fairs and their festivals, their idea. and their outlook of life, have now ceased to be common was ours. Thus the presence of this third essential of figure which requires of every Hindu an uncommon and loving tachment to his racial संस्कृति enables us most perfectly

termine the nature of fegre without any danger of using wer-lapping or exclusive attributes.

But take the case of a patriotic Bohra or a Khoja countryan of ours. He loves our land of हिंदस्थान as his fatherland such, indisputably, is the land of his forefathers. He poses—in certain cases they do—pure Hindu blood; especially he is the first convert to Mohamedanism he must be allowed elaim to inherit the blood of Hindu parents. He, as an inligent and reasonable man, loves our history and our herees, a fact the Bohras and the Khojas, as a community, worship as heroes or great ten Avatars only adding Mohamad in the elventh. He is actually, along with his communityobject to the Hindu law—the law of his forefathers. He is, o far as the three essentials of राष्ट्र, जाति and संस्कृति are con, erned, a Hindu. He may differ as regards a few festivals or may add a few more heroes to the pantheon of his supermen or semigods. But we have repeatedly said that difference in details here or emphasis there, does not throw us outside the pule of Hundu genta. The sub-communities amongst the Hindus observe many a custom, not only contradictory but even conflicting with customs of other Hindu communities. Yet both of them are Hindus. So also in the above cases a patriotic Bohra or a Christian or a Khoja, who could sitisfy the three required qualifications of fegge to such a deeree as that, why should he not be recognised as a Hindu?

He would certainly have been recognised as such but for his attitude towards a single detail—which, though it is covered by the word viesta or culture, is yet too important'

to be lost in the multitude of other attributes, and therele deserves a special treatment and analysis; which again brutus face to face with the question which, involing as it does to religious aspect of Hundutva, had often been avoided by not because we fight shy of it, but on account of our with fight it out all the more thoroughly and offectively. For are now better equipped to determine the significance attempt an analysis of the two terms Hinduism and itself.

VI

The words fige and Hinduism being both of them derived men the word fee must necessarily be understood to refer to whole of the Hindu people. Any definition of Hinduism that leaves out any important section of our people and forces meither to play false to their convictions or to go outside pale of 17377 stands self condemned. Hinduism means system of religious beliefs found common amongst the lindu people. And the only way to find out what those remous beliefs of the Hindus are, i.e., what constitutes Hinusm, you must first define a Hindu. But lorgetting this bleef implication of the word Hinduism which clearly preappears an independent conception of a Hindu, many a cople go about to determine the essentilas of Hinduism and beding none so satisfactory as to include, without overlap. ang, all our Hindu communities come to the despetate conbeion-which does not satisfy them either -that therefore Mose communities are not Hundus at all | because, - not that the definition they had framed is open to the fault of exclusion ot because those communities do not subject themselves to the required tenets which these gentleman have thought # fit to label as "Hinduism"? This way of answering the quesson 'who is a Hindu" is really preposterous and has given to so much of bitterness amongst some, of our brothren ा the अवंदिक school of thought, the शिखा the बैना, the देव अवाबीड and even our patriotic and progressive आयंग्रमाजीड.

"Who is a Hindu?"-he, who is subject to the tenets of

Hinduism. Very well. What is Hinduism?-those tenes which the Hindus are subjected! This is very nearly are in a circle and can never lead to a satisfactory solution. of our friends who have been on this wrong track have or back to tell us "there is no such people as Hindus at all If some Indian, as gifted as that Englishman who first cothe word Hinduism, coins a parallel word "English proceeds to find out the underlying unity of beliefs amount the English people, gets disgusted with thousands of sectsocieties from the Jews to the Jacobins, from Trimits Utility, and comes out to announce that "there are no was people as the English at all " he would not make himmore ridiculous than those who declare in cold print "this is nothing as a Hindu people." Any one who wants to what a confusion of thought prevails on the point and has the failure to analyse separately the two terms ferra Hinduism renders that confusion worst confounded may well to go through the booklet 'Essentials of Hindui w published by the enterprising Natesan & Co'.

Hindusm means the 'ssm' of the Hindus; and as word Hindu has been derived from the word High, the Industrial primarily all the people who reside on the land to extends from High to High, Hindusm must necessarily not the religion or the religions that are peculiar and native this land and this people. If we are unable to reduce the different tenets and beliefs to a single system of religion the the only way would be to cease to maintain that Hindus is a system and to say that it is a set of systems consistent.

other. But in no case can you advance this your failure determine the meaning of Hinduism as a ground to doubt existence of a Hindu nation itself, or worse still to muit sacrilege in hurting the feelings of our water Hindu tuturen and after Hindu brethren alike, by relegating any them to the Non-Hindu pale.

The limits of this essay do not permit us to determine the wure or the essentials of Hinduism or to try to discuss it at ary great length. As we have shown above the enquiry wo what is Hunduism can only begin after the question who Hindn' is rightly answered by determining the essentials of rem and as it is only with these essentials of Rem, which while us to know 'who is a Hindu,' that this our present monary is concerned the discussion of Hinduism all necessarily outside of our scope. We have to take ornizance of it only so far as it trespasses in the field of our recual charge. Hinduism is a word that properly speaking should be applied to all the religious beliefs that the different ommunities of the Hindu people hold. But it is generally spolled to that system of religion which the majority of the Hindu people follow. It is natural that a religion or a country or a community should derive its name from the characteristic beture which is common to an overwhelming majority that constitutes or contributes to it. It is also convenient for asy reference or parlance. But a convenient term that is not only delusive but harmful and positively misleading should not any longer be allowed to blind our judgment. The ma-

jority of the Hindus contributes to that system of religion which could fitly be described by the attribute that corne tutes its special feature, as ' अतिस्मृतिपुराणोक " धर्म or सनातन न They would not object if it even be called वैदिक धर्म. 11 besides these there are other Hindus who reject, either parts or wholly, the authority-some of the पुराणs, some of the ₹ मुतिंड and some of the श्रांतिंड themselves. But if we identify the religion of the Hindus with the religion of the majority only and call it orthodox Hinduism, then the dal ferent heterodox communities, being Hindus themselve rightly resent this usurpation of हिंदुल by the majority well as their unjustifiable exclusion. The religion of the minorities also requires a name. But if you call the so-called orthodox religion alone as Hinduism then naturally it follows that the religion of the so called heterodox is not Hinduisn The next most fatal step being that therefore those section are not Hindus at all!! But this inference seems as storgering even to those who had unwillingly given whole hearts support to the premises which have made it logically inevitble that while hating to own it they hardly know how to avoid arriving at it. And thus we find that while millions of our Shikhs, Jains, Lingayats, several Samajis and other would deeply resent to be told that they-whose father fathers up to the tenth generations had the blood of Hindu in their veins-had suddenly ceased to be Hindus !- yet section amongst them takes it most emphatically for granted that they had been faced with a choice that either they should consent to be a party to those customs and belief

ted as superstitions, or they should cease to belong to trace to which their forefathers belonged.

All this bitterness is mostly due to the wrong use of the and Hinduism to denote the religion of the majority only ther the word should be restored to its proper significance to mote the religions of all Hindus or if you fail to do that it ould be dropped altogether. The religion of the majority the Hindus could be best denoted by the ancient accepted pellation, the सनातन धर्म or the श्रुतिस्तिपुराणोक्त धर्म or the भार धर्म; while the religions of the remaining Hindus would continue to be denoted by their respective and accept d names शांख धर्म or आर्य धर्म or जैन धर्म or बुद्ध धर्म Whenever the necessity of denoting these was as a whole mes then alone we may be justified in denoting them by generic term हिंदू पर्म or Hinduism. Thus their would no loss either in clearness, or in conciseness but on the wher hand a gain both in precision and unambiguity which by emoving the cause of suspicion in our minor communities and resentment in the major ones would once more unite all Hindus under our ancient banner representing a comon race and a common civilization.

The earliest records that we have got of the religious chiefs of any Indian community—not to speak of mankind telf—are the Vedas. The Vedic nation of the UNITYS was abdivided into many a tribe and class. But although the majority then held a faith that we for simplicity call Vedic eligion, yet it was not contributed to by an important

minority of the सिमुंड themselves. The पाणींड theदासंड, the बाल and many others from time to time seem to have either secedor from or never belonged to the orthodox church and yet racially and nationally they were conscious of being a people by then selves. There was such a thing as Vedic religion, but it connot be even then identified with सिम्-अम; for the latte term, had it been coined, would have naturally meant the set of religions prevailing in सप्तासभुड, orthodox as well heterodox. By a process of elimination and assimilation to race of the fegs at last grew into the race of legs-are the land of the feys i.e. feyeurs, into the land of the हिंदु- i.e. हिंदुस्थान. While their orthodox and the heteroder schools of religions have, - having tested much, dared much and known much, -having subjected to the most searching exami nation possible till then, all that lay between the grandest and the timest, from the atom to the Atman, from the TTHIS I the परमहा,-having sounded the deepest secrets of thoughts and having sored to the highest altitudes of Erstasy,-given birth to a synthesis that sympathises with all aspirants toward truth from the Monist to the Atheist. Truth its goal, Reas. zation its method. It is neither Vedic nor non-Vedic, it both. It is the veritable science of religion applied. The ा हिंदुपर्म—the conclusion of the conclusions arrived at la harmonising the detailed experiences of all the schools of nhgious thought-वैदिक, सनातनी, बैन. बौद्ध, शांख or देवसमावा Each one and every one of those systems or sects which are the direct descendants & developments of the religious base liefs वैदिक and अवेदिक, that obtained in the land of the सप्तिरिक

or in the other unrecorded communities in other parts of India in the Vedic period, belongs to and is a part integral of द्विपर्य.

Therefore the वैद्धि or the सनातन धर्म itself is merely a sect Hinduism or हिंदुधर्म-however over-whelming be the majority that contributes to its tenets. It was a definition ा this सनातन धर्म which the late Lokamanya Tilak framed in the famous verses " प्रामाण्यबुद्धिवेदेषु साधनानामनेकता । उपास्यानाम-वियम एतदेमस्य उक्षणम् ॥" In a learned article that he had matributed to the चित्रमयनगत, which bears the mark of his deep erudition and insight, the Lokamanya in an attempt to develop this more or less segative definition into a positive one, had clearly suggested that he had an eye, not on figer as such but, only on what was popularly called हिंदुधमं; and had also admitted that it could hardly include in its sweep the आपंस्तानींs and ther sects which never the less are racially and nutionally ifindus of Hindus. That definition, excellent so far as it goes, um fact not a definition of द्विष्म, much less of द्विष्त, but अ मनातन धर्म—the श्रुतिस्मातिपुराणोष sect, which being the most popular of all sects of हिंदुधर्म was naturally but loosely metaken for fegur itself.

Thus figure being etymologically as well as actually and its religious aspects only, (for un is not merely religion) religion of the Hindus, it necessarily particle of all the nitials that characterise a Hindu. We have found that first important essential qualification of a Hindu set, to him the land that extends from for to fix to the

वित्रम, the मात्रम्, the land of his partiarchs and forefathers. The system or set of religions which we call हिंदुधमं-बेदिक कर अवेदिक--are as truly the children of this soil as the men whom thoughts they are or who "saw" the Truth revealed in thin To दिइपमें with all its sects and systems this land विप्रसान the land of its revelation—the land of its birth on this human plane. As the Ganges, though flowing out of the lotus feet of Vishnu himself is even to the most orthodox devotee and mystic so far as human plane is concerned, the daughter of the Himalayas, even so, this land is the birth-place—the gran and the पित्रभू-of that तत्वज्ञान which in its religious aspect signified as RENH. The second most important essential of figa is that a Hindu is a descendant of Hindu parent claims to have the blood of the ancient first and the rate that sprang from them in his veins. This also is true of the different schools of religion of the Hindus. For, they to being either founded by or revealed to the Hindu sages and seers, are the moral and cultural and spiritual descendants and development of the Thought of the unfags through the process of assimilation and elimination, as we are of their Seed. Not only is दिवसमें the growth of the natural environment ments and of the thought of the Hindus but also of the or culture of the Hindus. The environmental frames in which its scenes, whether of the बेदिक period, or of बेद्ध, जैन व any extremely modern ones of बैतन्य, बकघर, बसब, नानक, द्याने or राजा राममोहन, are set, the technical terms and the language that furnished expression to its highest revelation and ecstacies, its myhtology and its philosophy, the conceptions

stamp of Hindu culture, of Hindu tites and grow and its being in the atmosphere of Hindu culture, and the of a Hindu being so completely identified with the land the Hindus, this land to him is not only a land but a not only a fatherland but a holy land.

Yes; this मारतमाम, this शिपुस्पान, this land of ours that wretches from सिम् to सिम्र is our कुन्सांस, for it was in this and that the Founders of our faith and the Seers to wom 'बेद' the Knowledge was revealed, from बैदिक seers व दयानंद, from जिन to महाबोर, from बुद्ध to नागमेन, from गनइ to गाविद, from बंदा to बसव, from बकचर to बैतन्य, from त्मदान to राममोहन, our Gurus and Godmen were born and hed The very dust of its paths echoes the footfalls of Prophets and Gurus. Sacred are its rivers, hallowed its noves, for it was either on their moonlit ghats or under heir eventide long shadows, that the deepest problems of Me, of man, soul and God, of ब्रह्म and माया, were debated and discussed by a gg or a gg. Ah! every hill and dell tatinet with memories of a इपिल or a ब्यास, अंकर or रामदास. Here सर्गार्थ rules, there कुछेन्न lies. Here रामचंद्र made his first talt of an exile, there जानकी saw the golden deer and bandly pressed her lover to kill it. Here the divine cowherd wyed on his flute that made every heart in नोइड dance harmony as if in a hypnotised sleep. Here is a fire at, here the der park. Here महाबार entered निवांत्र. Here stood crowds of surshippers amongst whom नानक sat and sang the जारती

" गगन बाल रविचंद दीपक बने!" Here गोपीचंद the took on vows of गोपीचंद the जोगी and with bowl in his hand knocked at his sister's door for handful alms! Here the son of बंदाबहादुर was hacked to pieces be the eyes of his father and the young bleeding heart of the thrust in the father's mouth for the fault of dying as a Humber of the son of the has a story of martyrdom to tell! Every stone here has a story of martyrdom to tell! Ever inch of thy soil. Oh mother! has been a sacrificial ground not only 'where the कुळासार is found' but from काइसी सिंहल it is 'बहीयमूचि,' santcified with a हानयह का आहमयह. So to every Hindu, from the संतास to the क्षारम्भवह. So to every Hindu, from the संतास to the with भारतभूचि, this सिंगुस्थान, is at once a वित्रम् and a geque

That is why in the case of some of our Mohamedan Christian countrymen who had originally been forcibly on verted to Non-Hindu religion and who consequently have herited, along with Hindus, a common Father-land greater part of the wealth of common culture-language law, customs, folklore and history—are not and cannot la recognized as Hindus. For though दिइस्थान to them is Reas to any other fig yet it is not to them a good ! Their Holyland is far off in Arabia or Palestine. The mythology and godmen, ideas and heroes are not chirlden of this soil. Consequently their names their outlook smack of foreign origin. Their love Nay, if some of them be really believe divided. what they profess to do, then there can be no choicemust to a man set their Holy-land above their Father-land their love and allegiance. That is but natural. We are they stand. We have tried to determine the essentials of and in doing so we have discovered that the Bolins I such other Mohamadan or Christian communities possess the essential qualifications of fig. but one and that is they do not look upon India as their Holyland.

It is not a question of embracing any doctrine propoundany new theory of the interpretation of God and Soul and In For we honestly believe that the Hindu Thought-we not speaking of any religion which is dogma-has exlimited the very possibilities of human speculation as to the thre of the Unknown-if not the Unknownable, or the ture of the relation between that and thou. Are you monist-a monotheist-a pantheist-an atheist-an agnos-Here is ample room, oh soul! whatever thou art, to and grow to thy fullest height and satisfaction this Temple of temples that stands on no monal foundation, but on the broad and doep strong foundation of Truth Why goest then to fill y little pitcher to wells far ofi, when thou standest on the ks of the crystal-streamed Ganges herself? Does not the ed in your veins, oh brother, of our common fore-fathers aloud with the recollections of the dear old scenes and from which they were so cruelly snatched away at point of sword? Then come ye back to the fold of your thers and sisters who with arms extended are standing at open gate to welcome you-their long lost kith and kin. re can you find more freedom of worship than in this

land where a जानंक could preach atheism from the steps of the temple of महाकार !—more freedom of social organisation than in the Hindu society where from the Patnas of Orito the Pandits of Benares, from the संवास्त्र to the मापुड, ear can develop a distinct social type of polity or organize a none. Verily यदेहास्ति न सर्वत्र यमहास्ति न क्रमचित्। Whatever could be found in the world is found here too. And if anything a not found here it could be founed no where. Ye, who is race, by blood, by culture, by nationality possess almost the essentials of दिन्न and had been forcibly snatched of our ancestral Home by the hand of Violence—ye had only to render whole hearted love to our common Mother and recognize her not only as पितृष्ट but even a पुरुष्य, and ye would be most welcome to the Hindu fold.

This is a choice which our country-men and our old to and kin the Bohras. Khojas, Mamons and other Mohamed and Christians communities are free to make—a choice against which must be a choice of love. But as long as they are minded thus, so long they cannot be recognized as Hind We are it must be remmebered, trying to analyse and delimine the essentials of fight as that word is actually underst to signify and would not be justified to strain it in its approach to suit any preconceived notions or party convenient.

A Hindu therefore, to sum up the conclusions arrived is he who looks upon the land that extends from fay first from the Indus to the Seas, as the land of his for fathers—his fung; who inherits the blood of that race where discernible source could be traced to the Vedic and

and which on its onward march, assimilating much that was proporated and ennobling much that was assimilated, has some to be known as the Hindu people; who has inherited and claims as his own the culture of that race, as expressed mefly in their common classic language the send and represented by a common history, a common literature, art and architecture, law and jurisprudence, rites and rituals, premonies and sacraments, fairs and festivals; and who bove all addresses this land, this चित्रस्थान, as his पुण्यम्, as his Holyland-the land of his prophets and seers, of his godmen and gurus, the land of piety and pilgrimage. These are the essentials of fegra-a common राष्ट्र, a common जाति, ind a common संस्कृति. All these essentials could best be ummed up by stating in brief that he is a fee to whom fee-व्यान is not only a वितम but also a पुष्पम्. For the first two essentials of दिद्वान-राष्ट्र and जाति-are clearly denoted and compoted by the word चित्रभ: while the third essential of ाम्हाति is pre-eminently implied by the word पुण्यम: as it is precisely treated including treates i.e., rites and rituals ceremanies and sacraments, that makes a land a Holyland. To make the definition more handy, we may be allowed to compress it in a couplet :-

आर्सिषु सिषुपर्यता यस्य मारतभूमिका पितृभुः पुण्यभूश्वेष छ वै हिंदुरितिस्मृतः ॥

VII

The rough analysis to which the conception of दिइल subjected in the foregoing section has enabled us to frame working definition embodying or rather indicating the saliest essentials of it. It now remains to see how far this general definition can stand a detailed examination that could in best conducted by testing a few typical and some of the mount different cases which have in fact made the necessity of a definition so badly felt. While developing it we have trial at each step to free it, so far as it is possible to do so in the case of so comprehensive and clusive a generalization as that from the defect of being overlapping. If we find in testing a few typical cases in the light of this definition that they all fit in well then we may be sure that it is free from the opposite defect of exclusiveness too. We have seen that it is not open to आंतच्याति: it remains to be seen whether it is not open to अञ्चाप्ति also.

The geographical divisions that obtain amongst the Hindrawould, at a glance, be seen to harmonise well with the spirit of our definition. The fundamental basis of it is the land wife flaywan, and although many of our brethern, and epecially those who had been the most undoubted descendant of the ancient flays, and who besides are the very people.

at to this day have never changed the ancient name either of their land or of their race, and are called to day as five ousand years ago, ' सिमी', the children of सिमुदेश, inhabit on ther bank of the Indus, yet as in the mention of a river the ention of both its banks is implied as a matter of course so that part of first which constitutes the western bank of blus is a natural part of figure and is covered by our demition. Secondly, accessories to the mainland are always mown by the name of the latter. And thirdly, our Hindu people on that side of the Sindhu had through out history worked upon this land of भारतवर्ष as their real वितृम् as well as Thay had never been guilty of matricide in attempt ing to set up the patch they inhabit as their only fang or only ुच्चम्. On the other hand their बनारस and बैसास and गंगांत्री are our बनारस and केलास and गंगोत्री. From the Vedic time they are a part integral of भारतवर्ष. 'सिधुशिबसावीरंड' ar ementioned ा रामायन and महाभारत as the rightful constituents of the great Hindu confederacy and commonwealth. They belong to our गष्ट्र, to our आति and to our संस्कृति. Therefore they are Hindus and their case is well covered by our definition,

But even if one rejects the contention that the ownership of a river does imply, unless otherwise stated the owner thip of both its banks yet the definition remains as sound as ever and applies to our first brethren on other grounds for, apart from the special case of our first brethren that inhabit on the other side of the Indus, there are hundreds of thousands of Hindus who have settled in all parts of the world. A time may come when these our Hindu

colonists, who even to-day are the dominating factor in tranumbers, capacity and intellect in their respective bmay come to own a whole country and form a separate start But will this simple fact of residence in lands other than the ears render one a non-Hindu? Certainly not; for the first sential of figra is not that a man must not reside in lands side India, but that wherever he or his descendants more happen to be he must recognize सिम्ह्यान as the land of land forefathers. Nay more; it is not a question of recognition either. If his ancestors came from India as Hindus he camnot help recognizing India as his पिन्स् So this definition of figar is compatiable with any conceivable expans of our Hindu people. Let our colonists continue unabated their labours of founding a Greater India, a महाभारत, to the best of their capacities and contribute all that is best in our civilization to the upbuilding of Humanity. Let them rich the people that inhabit the earth from Pole to Pole with their virtues and let them in return enrich their own country and race by inbibing all that is healthy and true wherever found fige does not clip the wings of the Himalayan eagle but only adds to their urge. So long as ye, Oh Hindus, look apon दिवस्थान as the land of your forefathers and as the land of your prophets, and cherish the prizeless heritage of their culture and their blood, so long nothing can stand in the way of your desire to expand. The only geographical limits of figa are the limits of our earth!

So far as the racial aspect of our definition is concerned we cannot think of any exception that can seriously challenge its

dity. Just as in England we find Iberians, Kelts, Angles, Danes, Normans now fused in spite of the racial reections on intermarriages into one nation, so the ancient and distinctions of Aryans, Kolarians, Dravidians and others an if they had ever been keen, can no longer be recognized. We have dealt with the point as exhaustively as necessary in or foregoing sections and pointed out that the अनुसोम and प्रतिour systems recognized in our lawbooks bear indisputable testimy to the fact that a fusion sufficient to keep the flow of rommon blood through our body politic vigorous and fresh was even then an accomplished fact. Natur again broke har barriers where custom refused to pull them down in time. बीमधेन was neither the first nor the last of Aryans to make love with a fercar, nor the Brahmin lady, the mother of equent, to whom we have referred already was the only Aryan girl that took a fancy to a sura youth. Out of a dozen Bhils or Kolis or even Santals, a youth or a girl may at times be picked up and dropped in a city school without any fear of being recognized as such either by a physical or by a moral test. The race that is born of the fusion, which on the whole is a healthy one, because gradual, of the Aryans, Kolarians, Dravidians and all those of our ancestors, whose blood we as a race inherent, is rightly called neither an Aryan, nor Kolarian, nor Draviadian-but the Hindu race: that is, that people who live as children of a common motherland, adoring a common holyland-the land that his between the सिंघुड. Therefore the संतालंड, बोळीड, भिलंड, पंचमंड, नामसूद्र and all other such tribes and classes are Hindus. This fugeria is as emphatically, if not more emphatically, the limit their forefathers as of those of the so called Aryane inherit the Hindu blood and the Hindu culture; and those of them who have not as yet come fully under the fluence of any orthodox Hindu sect, do still worship d and saints and follow a religion, which however primit are still purely attached to this land, which therefore to this not only a fatherland but a Holyland

There would have been no serious objection raised again the cultural espect of fight too, but for the unfortunate misunderstanding that owes its origin to the confusing to larity between the two terms form and Hindusim. We have tried already to draw a clear line of demarcation between two conceptions and protested against the wrong use of the word Hinduism to denote the सनावन पर्स alone. हिंदू is not identical with हिंदुधमें; nor is हिंदुधमें identical with Hinduism. This twofold mistake that identifies feger with हिंदुधम and both with सनातनी sects is justly resented by our non-सनातनी sects or religious systems and goads a small section of people amongst them-not to explode this mitaken notion, but unfortunately to commit another grave and suicidal mistake in the opposite direction and disown their दिन्त itself. We hope that our definition will leave no ground for any such bitterness of feelings on either side and based on truth as it is would be acknowledged by all the fair-minded people through out our Hindu Society. But as in the general treatment of this question we could not take any notice of any special case we shall do so now. Let us first

the case of our and brotherhood. No one could be so silly as contest the statement that सियुस्यान, "आसियु सियुपर्यंता भारतand", is their Fatherland—the land that ever since the first ment records of the Vedic Period has been the land where their stathers lived and loved and worshipped and prayed sondly, they most undoubtedly inherit the Hindu blood in er veins as much as any one in Madras or Bengal does. Nay ore: While we Hindus in Maharashtra or Bengal inherit blood of the Aryans as well as of those other ancient cople who inhabited this land, the Sildis are the almost brect descendants of those ancient fays and can claim to save drunk their being at the very fountain of this Ganges our Hindu Life before she had descended down to the threes. Thirdly, they have contributed and therefore are the rightful copartners in our Hindu culture For सरस्वती as a river in the Punjab before she became the Deified Image of Learning and Art. To this day, do millions of Hindus through out Hindusthan join in the enchanted chorus with which the fers, your forefathers, Oh Sikhs, paid the tribute of a grateful people to, and extolled the glories of, the River on whose banks the first seeds of our Culture and civilration were sown, and catching their Rigvedic accents sing "अंबितमें नदीतमें | देवितमें सस्वाते |" The Vedas are theirs as they are ours, if not as a revolation, yet as a revered work that sings of the first giant struggles of Man to tap the sources of Nature, the boat giant struggle of Light against the forces of Darkness and Ignorance, that had stolen and kept imprisoned the spirited waters and refused to allow the rays of Illumination to touch

man and rouse the Soul in him. The story of the Sikhs, in any one of us must begin with the Vedas, pass on through the palaces of Ayodhya, witness the battlefield of Lanka, belg-सह to lay the foundation of Lahore and watch prince दिल्ला leave the confines of कांप्रेस्त्रकत and enter the caves to find some way out to lighten the sorrows of Man. The Sikhs along with us bewail the fall of grafitta, share the fate of a conquered people, and suffer together as Hindus. Millions of Sikhi-उदाबींड, निमलंड, the गहनगंमीरंड and the खिंची शीलंड adore the संस्कृत language not only as the language of their ancestors but as the sacred language of their Land. While the rest cannot but own it as the tongue of their forefathers and as the Mother of Gurumukhi and Punjabi, which yet in its infancy is still sucking the milk of Life at its breast. Lastly the land siffig fag. पर्वता is not only the पितृम् but also the govern to the Sichs. Guru Nanak and Guru Govind, Shri Banda and Ramsing wern born and bred in Hindusthan; the lakes of Hindusthan are the jakes of nector and of freedom-अमृतसर and मुख्यर; the land of Hindusthan is the land of prophets and prayers-gezit and gr पर. Really if any community in India is Hindu beyond cavil or criticism it is our Sikh brotherhood in the Punjab, being almost the autochthonous dwellers of the unity land and the direct descendants of the first or feet people The Sikh of to-day is the Hindu of yesterday and the Hindu of to-day may be the Sikh of to-morrow. The change of a dress, or a custom, or a detail of daily life cannot change the blood or the seed nor can efface and blot out history itself.

To the millions of our Sikh brethren their fager is self-evi-

The ugavit, squil, said, squil and the squi Sikhs reproud of being Hindus by race and by nationality. As their sures themselves had been the children of Hindus they would fail to understand if not resent any such attempt to less them as Non-Hindus. The grain is read by the understand well as by the Sikhs as a sacred work: both of them have hirs and festivals in common. The Sikhs of the maintainect also, so far as the bulk of their population is concerned, we equally attached to their racial appellation and live mongst Hindus as Hindus. It cannot be but shocking to them to be told that they had suddenly ceased to be Hindus. Our racial Unity is so unchallenged and complete that interparriages are quite common amongst the Sikhs and unitally.

The fact is that the protest that is at times raised by ome leaders of our Sikh brother-hood against their being bassed as Hindus would never have been heard if the term Madnism was not allowed to get identical with Sanatanism. This confusion of ideas and the vagueness of expression resulting therefrom, are at the root of this fatal tendency that mars at times the cordial relations existing between our ister Hindu Communities. We have tried to make it clear that form is not to be determined by any theological tests. Yet we must repeat it once more that the Sikhs are free to reject any or all things they dislike as superstitions in This with the binding authority of the Veclas as a revelation. They thereby may cease to be Hindus in the sense of our definition of form and not in any religious sense whatever.

Religiously they are Sikhs, as Jains are Jains, Lingayah Lingayats, Vaishnavas are Vaishnavas:—but all of racially and nationally and culturally are a polity and a propone and indivisible, most filty and from times immemoricalled Hindus. No other word can express our racial onene not even MRGM can do that for reasons dealt with in the foregoing sections. MRGM indicates an Indian and express larger generalization but cannot express racial unity us Hindus. We are Sikhs, and Hindus and Bharatiyas. We are all three put together and none exclusively.

Another reason besides this fear of being indetified with the followers of सनातनपंच which added to the zeal of some of our Sikh brothers and made them insist on getting classed separate rately as non-Hindus, was political one. This is not the place of entering into merits or demerits of a special representation The Sikhs were naturally anxious to guard the special interests of their community and if the Mohomedans could enjoy the privilege of a special and communal representation, we denot understand why any other important minority in India should not claim similar concessions. But we feel that the claim should not have been backed up by our Sikh brothers by an untenable and suicidal plea of being non-Hindu Sikhs, to guard their own interests, could have pressed for and succeeded in securing special and communal representation ont he ground of being an important minority as our non-Brahmins and other communities have done without n nouncing their birth-right of दिवल. Our Sikh brotherhood is certainly not a less important community than the Moha

mans-in fact to us Hindus they are more important than my non-Hindu Community in India. The harm that a medial and communal representation does is never so great in the harm done by the attitude of racial alcolness. Let the Sikhs, the Jains, the Lingayats, the non-Brahmins and even, for the matter of that, Brahmins press and fight for the make of special and communal representation, if they honestly lok upon it as indispensable for their communal growth. For their growth is the growth of the whole Hindu Society. Even in ancient times our four main castes enjoyed a and of special representation on communal basis in our nuncils of State as well as in local bodies. They could do that without refusing to get fused into the larger whole and meorporated into the wider generalization of द्विद्वत. Let the Sikhs be classed as Sikhs religiously; but us Hindus rarially, nationally and culturally.

The brave people who placed their heads by hundreds under the executioner's are rather than disown their born—union for four later for four of four people. You may fancy that it pays you to remain aloof for the passing hour, but it will do incalculable harm to this our ancient for and civilization as a whole—and especially to yourselves your interests are indissolubly bound with the interests of

your other Hindu brethren. Whenever in the future as in the past, a foreigner raises a sword against the Hindu civilina tion, it is sure to strike you as deadly as any other Himocommunity. Whenever in future as in the past, the Hindra as a people come to their own and under a Shivaji or a Ranjit a Ramchandra or a Dharma, an Ashoka or an Amoghward feeling the quickening touch of life and activity mount the pinnacles of glory and greatness—that day would shed its histre on you as well as on any other member of our Hindu Commonwealth. So, brothers, be not dismayed by the mamediate gains, paltry or otherwise, nor be duped by min readings and misinterpretations of history. I was once told by one, who posing as a sail was nevertheless convicted for committing a dacoity is the house of a Brahmin to whom he owed money and whom he consequently murdered-that the Sikhs were not Hindus and that they could incur no guilt by killing a Brahmin as the sons of Govindsing were betrayed by a Brahmin cook! Fortunately there was another Sikh gentleman and a real \$47 and was recognized as such by all learned Sikhs who immediately contradicted and cornered him by several examples of ufacte and others, who had sheltered the Guru and proved true to the Sikhs even unto martyrdom. Was not Shivaji betrayed by his kith and kin and his grandson again by a feets who too was a Hindu? But did Shivaji or his nation disown their race and crase to be Hindus? Many of the Sikhs have acted treacherously first at the time of desertion of the heoic Banda, then again at the time of the last war of the Khalsa forces.

with the English. Guru Govindsing himself was deserted by number of Sikhs and in the very thick of fight and it was this act of treacherous cowardice of these Sikhs which by lorcing our lion-hearted Gurn to try a desparate sortie, gave accession to that cursed Brahmin wretch to betray his two sons. If therefore for the crime of the latter we cease to be Hinden, then for the crime of the former we ought to cease to be Sikhs too!

The minority of the Hindus as well as the major communihes of them did not fall from the skies as separate creations. They are an organic growth that has its roots embedded deep in a common land and in a common culture. You cannot pick up a lamb and by tying a tes and gray on it, make a lion of it ; If the Garn succeeded in forming a band of martyrs and warriors he could do so because the race that produced him as well as that band, was capable of being monlded thus. The lion's seed alone can breed lions. The flower cannot say " I bloom and smile and smell; surely I came out of the stalk alone :- I have nothing to do with the roots !" No more can we deny our seed or our blood. As soon as you point a Sikh who was true to his Gurn you have amomatically pointed out a Hindu who was true to the Guru, for before being a Sikh be was, and yet continues to be, a Hindu. So long as our Sikh brethren are true to Sikhism they must of necessity continue to be Hindus; for so long must this land, this भारतमानिका, आसिंधु सिंधुपर्यता, remain their पितृम् and their good. It is by ceasing to be Sikhs alone that they

We have dealt at some length with this special case nour Sikh brotherhood as all those arguments and remark would automatically test all similar cases of our other wife sects and religious in the light of our definition. The dentities for example are agractics: but figure has little to do will agnoticism, or for the matter of that, atheism. The dentities look on this land as the land of their forefathers, their figures as well as their grand, and are therefore Hindus. Of cour it is superfluous, after all this, to refer to our strangerall the essetials of figure hold good in their case so eminently that they are Hindus of Hindus. We, in fact, an unable to hit upon any case that can lay our definition open to the charge of exclusiveness.

In one case alone it seems to offer some real difficulty. Is for example, Sister Nivedita a Hindu? If ever an exception proves the rule it does so here. Our patriotic and noble minded sister had adopted our land outly first as her forgy. She truly loved it as such, and had our nation been free, we would have been the first to bestow the right of citizenship on such loving souls. So the first exential may, to some extent, be said to hold good in her case. The second essential of common blood, of Hindu patronage must, never-the-less and necessarily, be absent in such cases as these. The sacrament of marriage with a Hindu which really fuses, and is universally admitted to do so, two beings into one may be said to remove this disqualification. But although this second essential failed, either way, to hold good in her case, the third important qualification of figgs did entitle her to be

and came to adore our land as her gray. She felt, she was a Hindu and that is, apart from all technicalities, the real and the most important test. But we must not forget that we have to determine the essentials of fight in the sense in which the word is actually used by an overwhelming majority of our people. And therefore we must say that any convert of non-Hindu parentage to Hindutva can be a Hindu, if bona fide, he or she adopts our land as his or her country and marries a Hindu, thus coming to love our land as a real fide; and adopts our culture and thus adores our land as the gray, and adopts our culture and thus adores our land as the gray. The children of such a union as that would, other things being equal, be most emphatically Hindus. We are not authorised to go further.

But, by coming to believe into the tenets of any sects of the Hindus, a foreign convert may be recognised as a Hardin, or a will, or a will, and as these religious being founded by or revealed to Hindus, go by the name of figures the convert too, may be religiously called a Hindu. But it must be understood that a religious or cultural convert possesses only one of the three essentials of figures and it is owing to this disqualification that people generally do not recognise as a Hindu any one and every one who contributes to the religious beliefs of our race. So deep our feeling of gratitude is towards a Sister Nivedita or an Annie Besant for the services they rendered to the cause of our Motherland and our culture, so soft hearted and sensitive to the touch of Love as a race we Hindus are, that Sister Nivedita or a person like her who so

completely identifies his or her being with the Being of o people, is almost unconsciously received in the Hindu full But it should be done as an exception to the rule. The mitself must neither be too rigid nor too elastic. The seven tests to which we have subjected our definition of fight have we believe, proved it that it satisfies both these requirement and involves neither stanfin nor siftentiff.

VIII.

So far we have not allowed any considerations of utility to prejudice our inquiry. But having come to its end it will not be out of place to see how far the attributes, which we found to be the essentials of fig., contribute towards strength, cohesion, and progress, of our people. Do these essentials constitute a foundation so broad, so deep, so strong that basing upon it the Hindu people can build a Future which can fare and repel the attacks of all the adverse winds that blow; or does the Hindu Race stand on Freet of clay?

Some of the ancient nations raised huge walls so as to convert a whole country into a tortified castle. To-day their walls are trodden to dust or are but scarcely discernible by a few scattered mounds here and there; while the People they were meant to protect are not discernible at all! Our ancient neighbours, the Chinese, laboured from generation to generation and raised a rampart, embracing thei units of an empire—so wide, so high, so strong—a wonder of human world. That too, as all human wonders must, sank under its own weight. But behold the ramparts of Nature! Have they not, these Himalayas, been standing there as one whose desires are satiafied—so they seemed to the Vedic bard—so they seem to us to-day. These are our ramparts that have converted this vast continent into a cosy castle.

You take up buckets and fill your trenches with water and call it moat. Behold, Varuna himself, with his one has pushing continents aside, fills the gap by pouring seas on with the other! This Indian ocean with its bays and guilt is our moat.

These are our frontier lines bringing within use reach the advantages of an in-land as well as an insular country.

She is the richly endowed daughter of God-This our Motherland. Her rivers are deep and percanial. Her land is yield ing to the plough and her fields loaded with golden harveste-Her necessaries of life are few and a genial nature yields them all almost for the asking. Rich in her fauna, rich in her flora, she knows she owes it all to the immediate source ul light and heat.—The Sun. She covets not the icy lands: blessed be they and their frozen latitudes. If heat is at times 'enervating here, cold is at times beambing there. If cold induces manual labour, heat removes much of its very necessity. She takes more delight in quenched thirst than in the parched throat. Those who have not, let them delight in exerting to have. But those who have-may be allowed to derive pleasure from the very fact of having. Father Thames is free to work at feverish speed, wrapped in his icy sheets. She loves to visit her ghats and watch her boats gliding down the Ganges, on her moonlit waters. With the plough, the peacocks, the lotus, the elephant and the Gita, she is willing to forego, if that must be, whatever advantage the colder latitudes enjoy. She knows she cannot have all her own way. Her

gardens are green and shady, her granaries well stocked, her maters crystal, her flowers scented, her fruits juicy and her berbs healing. Her brush is dipped in the colours of Dawn and her flute resonant with the music of Gokul. Verily Hind is the richly endowed daughter of God.

Neither the English nor the French—with the exception of the Chinese and perhaps the Americans, no people are gifted with a land that can equal in natural strength and richness the land of firstens. A country, a common home is the first important essential of stable and strong nationality; and as of all countries in the world our country can hardly be surpassed by any in its capacity to afford a soil so specially fitted for the growth of a great nation, we Hindus whose very first article of faith is the love we bear to the common Fatherland, have in that love the strongest talismanic tie that can bind close and keep a nation firm and enthuse and enable it to accomplish things greater than ever.

The second essential of Record puts the estimate of our latent powers of national cohesion and greatness yet higher. No country in the world with the exception of China again, is peopled by a race so homogeneous, yet so ancient and yet so strong both numerically and vitally. The Americans too, whom we found equally fortunate with us so far as the gift of an excellent geographical basis of nationality is concerned, are decidedly left behind. Mohamedans are no race nor are the Christians. They are a religious unit, yet neither a racial nor a national one. But we Hindus, if possible, are all the three put together and live under our ancient and

common roof. The numerical strength of our race is as

And culture! The English and the Americans feel Ille are kith and kin because they posses a Shakespeare in common. But not only a Kalidas or a Bhas, but Oh Hindes ye possess a Ramayan and a Mahabharat in common-and the Vedas! One of the national songs the American children are taught to sing attempts to rouse their sense of eternal self-importance by pointing out to the hundred years twice told that stand behind their history. The Hindu counts huyears not by centuries but by cycles-the gr and the ter-and # mazed asks ' रचुपतेः का गतोत्तरकोशका। बद्यतेः का गता मध्रापुरी He does not attempt to rouse the sense of self importance of much as the sense of proportion, which is Truth. And that has perhaps made him last longer than Ramses and Nebuchadnessar. If a people that had no past has no future, then a people who had preduced an unending galaxy of heroes and heroworshippers and who are conscious of having facely with and vanquished the forces whose might struck Greece and Rome, the Pharaohs and the Incas, dend, have in the history a guarantee of their future greatness more assuring than any other people on earth yet possess.

But besides culture the tie of common holy-land has at times proved stronger than the chains of a Motherland Look at the Mohamadans. Mesca to them is a sterner reality than Defin or Agra. Some of them do not make amsecret of being bound to sacrifice all Indian if that be to the glory of Islam or could save the city of their Prophet. Look at the Jews; neither centuries of prosperity nor sense of grastude for the sheller they found can make them more attached or even equally attached to the several countries they inlothit. Their love is, and must necessarily be, divided between the land of their birth and the land of their Prophets. If the Zonests' dreams are ever realized-if Palestine becomes a Jewis State and it will galdden us almost as much as our Jewish briends---they, like the Manomedans would naturally set the enterests of their Holy-land above those of their Motherlands m America & Europe and in case of war between their adopted country and the Jewish state, would naturally sympathise with the latter, if indeed they do not bodily go over to it. History is too full of examples of such desertions to cite partitulars. The crusades again, attest to the wonderful influnce that a common holy land exercises over peoples widely s parated in race, nationality and language, to bind and hold them together.

The ideal conditions therefore under which a nation can attain perfect solidarity and cohesion would, other things being equal, be found in the case of those people who inhabit the land they adore, the land of whose forefathers is also the land of their Gods and Angels, of Seers and Prophets; the scenes of whose history are also the scenes of their mythology.

The Hindus are about the only people who are blessed with these ideal conditions that are at the same time incentive to national solidarity, cohesion and greatness. Not even the Chinese are blessed thus. Only Arabia and Palestins—if ever the Jews can succeed in bounding their state there—can be said to possess this imque advantage. But Araba meomparably poorer in the natural, cultural, historical, numerical essentials of a great people; and even if the dreaf of the Zionists are ever realized into a Palestine State they too must be equally lacking in these.

England, France, Germany, Italy, Turkey proper, Persa Japan, Alganistan, Egypt of to-day (for the old descent ands of 'Punto' and their Egypt is dead long since ---other African states, Mexico, Peru, Chilly (not to mentistates and nations lesser than all these)-though race. more or less homogeneous, are yet less advantageously site. ated than we are, in geographical, cultural, historical numerical essentials, besides lacking the unique gift of sanctified Motherland. Of the remaining nations Russia in Europe, and United States in America, though geographic ally equally well-gifted with us, are yet poorer in almost every other requisite of nationality. China alone of the present comity of nations is almost as richly gifted with the geographical, racial, cultural and numerical essentials as the Hindus are. Only in the prosession of a common, a sacred and a perfect language, the Sanskrit, and a sanctified Moth land are we, so far as the essentials that contribute to national solidarity are concerned, more fortunate.

Thus the actual essentials of figgs are, as this running sketch reveals, also the ideal essentials of Nationality. If would we can build on this foundation of figgs a future greater than what any other people on earth can yet drawn!—greater even than our own Past. Provided we are about

in utilize our opportunities! For let our people remember that front Combinations are the order of the day. The leagues of Nations, the alliances of Powers, Panislamism, Panslavism, Fanethiopism,-all little beings seeking to get themselves inorporated into greater wholes, so as to be better fitted for the struggle for existence and power. Those who are not currelly and historically blessed with numerical or gorgaphic-If or racial advantages are seeking to share them with others We to those who have them already as their birthright and www.w them not; or worse, dispise them! Thenations of the world are desparately trying to find a place in this or that imbigation for aggression .- and any one of you, Oh Handus ! whether are or unial or united or united or anyother subsection, ford to cut yourselves off or fall out and destroy the ancient, the natural and the organic combinatoin that already exits?combination that is bound not by any scraps of paper nor by the ties of exigencies alone, but by the ties of blood and birth und culture? Strengthen them if you can: pull down the farriers that have survived their utility, of castes and customs, il sects and sections : What of inter-dining ?-but intermermass between provinces and provinces, castes and castes, encouraged where they do not exist. But where they bready exist as between the Sikhs and Sanatanies, Jains and Vaishnavas, Lingavats and Non-Lingavats-suicidal be the land that ties to cut the nuptial tie. Let the minorities resember they would be cutting the very branch on which they stand. Strengthen every tie that binds you to the main wganism, whether of blood or language or common festivals and feasts or culture and the love you bear to the common Motherland. Let this ancient and noble stream of Hindu blood flow from vein to vein, from SIZE to SIZE, till at last the Hindu people get fused and welded into an indivisible whole, to may race gets consolidated and strong and sharp as steel.

Just cast a glance at the past, then at the Present; Proislamism in Asia, the Political Leagues in Europe, the Paethiopic movement in Africa and America :- and then see, O Hindus, if your future is not entirely bound up with the future of India: and the future of India is bound up, in the last resort, with Hindu strength. We are trying our bear as we aught to do, to develop the consciousness of and sense of attachment to the greater whole, whereby Hindus Mohamedans, Parsis, Christians and Jews would feel Indians first and every other thing afterwards. But what ever progress India may have made to that goal one thinremains almost axiomatically true-not only in India but every where in the world-that a nation requires a foundation to stand upon and the essence of the life of a nation is the life of that portion of its citizens whose interests and history and aspirations are most closely bound up with the land and who thus provide the real foundation to the structure of their national state. Take the case of Turkey. The young Turks after the revolution, had to open their Parliament and military institutions to Armensans and Christians on a nonreligious and secular basis. But when the war with Service came the Christians and Armenians first wavered and then many a regiment consisting of them went bodily over to the

Services, who politically and racially and religiously were more closely bound up with them. Take the case of America; when the German war broke out she suddenly had to face the tuger of desertions of her German citizens; while the Negro atizens there sympathise more with their brethren in Africa than with their white countrymen. American State, in the lest resort, must stand or fall with the fortunes of its Anglo-Saxon constituents. So with the Hindus. They being the ocople, whose past, present and future are most closely bound with the soil of Hindusthan as विनुष्, as a gover, they constitute the foundation, the bedrock, the reserved forces of the Indian state. Therefore even from the point of Indian Nationality, must ye, Oh Hindus, consolidate and strengthen Hindu Nationality: not to give wanton offence to any of our non-Hindu compatriots, in fact to any one in the world, but in just and urgent self-delence of our race and land; to render it impossible for others to betray her or to subject her to unprovoked attackes by any of those ' Pan-isms' that are struggling forth from continent to continent. As long as other communities in India or in the world are not respectively planning India first or Mankind first, but all are busy in organsing offensive and defensive alliances and combinations on intirely narrow racial or religious or national basis, so long, at least so long. Oh Hindus, strengthen if you can those tabtle bonds that like nervethreads bind you in One Organic Social Being. Those of you who in a socidal fit try to cut off the most vital of those ties and dare to disown the name Hando will find to their cost that in doing so they have cut

themselves of from the very source of our racial Life and Strength.

The presence of only a few of these essentials of nationality which we have found to constitute figgs enabled little nations like Spain or Portugal to get themselves lionised to the world. But when all of those ideal conditions obtain here what is there in the human world that the Hindus cannot accomplish?

Twenty-two crores of people, with India for their basis of operation, for their Fatherland and for their Holyland, with such a history behind them, bound together by ties of a common blood and common culture, can dictate their terms to the whole world. A day will come when mankind will have to face the force.

Equally certain it is that whenever the Hindus come to hold such a position whence they could dictate terms to the whole world—those terms cannot be very different from the terms which Gita dictates or the Buddha lays down. A Hindu is most intensely so, when he ceases to be a Hindus and with a सुन्द्र claims the whole earth for a Benares" बारायक सिद्धा । " or with a Tukaram exclaims " आमुना स्वद्धा । मुनन्द्रवास्थ वाह ।—my country ! Oh brothers, the limits of the Universe:—there the frontiers of my country lie!"